Title and Abstract

Title	Assessing the effects of water flow patterns on dam construction in degraded tropical peatlands
Abstract	Tropical peat swamp forest becomes degraded through forest removal and drainage, usually followed by land use change and fire. Restoration of the degraded peatland requires rewetting, which involves canal blocking and water level management. The purpose of canal blocking is to rewet the peat so that peat-forming trees can re-establish or crops be grown with minimal greenhouse gas emissions and peat subsidence. In addition, wet peat is more fire resistant than degraded dry peat. Canal construction faces several technical problems, including stress that causes bending, water seepage under the dam, and erosion of peat by water forcing its way around the sides when the water level upstream exceeds the dam height. This research examined the behaviour of water flows in canals in peatland in Central Kalimantan after blocking with dams of different designs. This study used a survey method and hydraulic physical model test with a horizontal scale of 1:30 and a vertical scale of 1:10. Field measurements were carried out on the primary canal of the former Mega Rice Project (MRP) Block C to build a physical model test prototype for laboratory research, includes measurement of cross-sections, canal length and water flow for a distance of 100 metres upstream and downstream of the construction. The test included three types of the physical model, reviewed for the effect of flow patterns caused by flood discharge frequencies of 5, 25, 50 and 100 years. The effects of flow patterns on canal dam construction in peatland were obtained from the physical model test.

Indexing

Keywords	canal blocking; hydraulic test; physical modelling
Language	en

Supporting Agencies

Agencies	—

References

References

Anda, M., Ritung. S., Suryani, E., Sukarman, Hikmat, M., Yatno, E., Mulyani, A., Subandiono, R.E., Suratman, and Husnain. 2021. Revisiting tropical peatlands in Indonesia: Semi-detailed mapping, extent and depth distribution assessment. Geoderma 402:115235, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2021.115235.

Anshari, G.Z. 2005. Carbon decline from peatlands and its implications on livelihood security of local communities. In: Carbon Forestry: Who Will Benefit? Proceedings of workshop on carbon sequestration and sustainable livelihoods held in Bogor, Indonesia, 16-17 February, 2005 (pp. 112-123). Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).

Boiten, W. 2003. Hydrometry. IHE Delft Lecture Note Series. AA Balkema Publishers. 248p.

Canadell, J.G., Le Quere, C., Raupach, M.R., Field, C.B., Buiten-huis, E.T., Ciais, P., Conway, T.J, Gillett, N.P., Houghton, R.A. and Marland, G. 2007. Contributions to accelerating atmospheric CO2 growth from economic activity, carbon intensity, and efficiency of natural sinks. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 104:18866-18870.

Dohong, A. and Lilia. 2008. Hydrology restoration of ex Mega Rice Project Central Kalimantan

#1337 Review

Submission

Authors	Adi Jaya, Franssico H.R.H. Baru, Alderina Rosalia Nahan, Salampak Dohong
Title	Assessing the effects of water flow patterns on dam construction in degraded tropical peatlands
Section	Articles
Editor	Eko Handayanto

Peer Review

Round 1

Review Version	**1337-3468-1-RV.docx** 2022-08-02
Initiated	—
Last modified	—
Uploaded file	None

Editor Decision

Decision	Accept Submission 2022-09-15
Notify Editor	Editor/Author Email Record 2022-09-15
Editor Version	None
Author Version	**1337-3612-1-ED.docx** 2022-09-15 **Delete**


LIVE: Arsenal vs Spurs - Prem
liga inggris - Google Search
#1337 Review
[JDMLM] Acceptance Letter and
mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?tab=rm&ogbl#search/editor.jdmlm%40ub.ac.id/FMfcgzGqQckGtwHxxcdQWgzcHJLltPMV
Gmail
editor.jdmlm@ub.ac.id
Active
Compose
Inbox
Starred
Snoozed
Sent
Drafts
More
Labels
1 of 8
JDMLM Editorial Office
to me, frans, alderinarosalia, salampak
Thu, Sep 15, 1:50 PM
Dear Authors,
We are pleased to inform you that your revised manuscript entitled "Assessing the effects of water flow patterns on dam construction in degraded tropical peatlands" has been accepted for publication in the Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management.
We are sending the Acceptance Letter and the Galley Proof of your article for proofreading. The article will likely come in Vol. 10. No. 1 (October 2022). Please kindly go through it keenly and point out any mistakes. After this stage, the authors will be responsible for any errors in the final manuscript. Kindly send the corrections back to us through this email address before 20 September 2022 (the final deadline for articles to be published on 1 October 2022).
We would like to inform you that to maintain memberships of CrossRef-DOI, DOAJ, other international indexing services, and partnerships, starting from 1 July 2022 Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management applies APC (article processing charge) of US$ 100 for an article of no more than 12 pages (10-point font, including figures, tables, and references). An additional APC of $20 per page is charged to ready-to-publish articles exceeding 12 pages. As your ready-to-publish article is 15 pages, you are kindly requested to deposit US$ 160 (IDR 2,400,000) to the following Bank account: [Name: Eko Handayanto, Bank: BRI Malang Kawi, Account No: 005 101 140 930 500], before 20 September 2022 to process your article further. Please kindly notify us when you have made the payment.
Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.
Sincerely yours
20:36
01/10/2022


LIVE: Arsenal vs Spurs - Prem
liga inggris - Google Search
#1337 Review
revised manuscript - adijaya@ag
mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?tab=rm&ogbl#search/editor.jdmlm%40ub.ac.id/QgrcJHshcBBqjkCFCrMlnhrZXWWVxQrvPg
Gmail
editor.jdmlm@ub.ac.id
Active
Compose
Inbox
Starred
Snoozed
Sent
Drafts
More
Labels
4 of 8
revised manuscript
Adi Jaya <adijaya@agr.upr.ac.id>
to editor.jdmlm
Wed, Sep 7, 6:36 AM
Dear Editors of JDMLM,
Thank you for your input on the manuscripts that we submit to this journal. We have made improvements according to the suggestions given as attached in the manuscript. We have also evaluated several authors whose contributions were very low from our articles. We really hope that the manuscript can be published on JDMLM. Thank you
We are waiting for further good news regarding publication on JDMLM.
With best wishes,
Adi Jaya
1337, JAYA et al (r...
Reply
Forward
20:37
01/10/2022


LIVE: Arsenal vs Spurs - Prem
liga inggris - Google Search
#1337 Review
[JDMLM] Reviewer Comments -
mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?tab=rm&ogbl#search/editor.jdmlm%40ub.ac.id/FMfcgzGqQJqFzZrGFVRLXZntQQqnpDfp
Gmail
editor.jdmlm@ub.ac.id
Active
Compose
Mail
Chat
Spaces
Meet
Inbox
Starred
Snoozed
Sent
Drafts
More
Labels
6 of 8
[JDMLM] Reviewer Comments
External
Inbox
Editorial Team <editor.jdmlm@ub.ac.id>
to me
Fri, Sep 2, 5:31 AM
Dr. Adi Jaya:
Your manuscript entitled "Assessing the Effects of Water Flow Patterns on Dam Construction in Degraded Tropical Peatlands" has been reviewed by the Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management reviewers. As an initial decision, your manuscript was found interesting, but revisions, as suggested by reviewers (attached), should be made to raise the quality of the manuscript.
Please revise your manuscript accordingly as soon as possible, and send the revised version to us through this email address (editor.jdmlm@ub.ac.id).
Kind regards
Prof Eko Handayanto PhD
Editor in Chief
https://www.scopus.com/sourceid/21100979353
https://www.scimagojr.com/journalsearch.php?q=21100979353&tip=sid&exact=no
https://sinta.kemdikbud.go.id/journals/detail?id=920
http://jdmlm.ub.ac.id
20:38
01/10/2022

JOURNAL OF DEGRADED AND MINING LANDS MANAGEMENT
Volume 9, Number 0 (xxxx 2022): 0000-0000, doi:10.15243/jdmlm.2022.000.0000
ISSN: 2339-076X (p); 2502-2458 (e), www.jdmlm.ub.ac.id

Research Article

Assessing the Effects of Water Flow Patterns on Dam Construction in Degraded Tropical Peatlands

Adi Jaya[1*], Fransisco H.R.H. Baru[2], Yustinus Sulistiyanto[1], I. Made Kamiana[2], Fengky F. Adji[1], Alderina R. Nahan[2], Salampak Dohong[1]

[1] Faculties of Agriculture, University of Palangka Raya, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia 73112
[2] Faculties of Engineering, University of Palangka Raya, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia 73112

[*]corresponding author: adijaya@agr.upr.ac.id

Article history:
Received Day Month 20xx
Accepted Day Month 20xx
Published Day Month 20xx

Keywords:
canal blocking, hydraulic test, physical modelling

Abstract

Tropical peat swamp forest becomes degraded through forest removal and drainage, usually followed by land use change and fire. Restoration of the degraded peatland requires rewetting, which involves canal blocking and water level management. The purpose of canal blocking is to rewet the peat so that peat-forming trees can re-establish or crops be grown with minimal greenhouse gas emissions and peat subsidence. In addition, wet peat is more fire resistant than degraded dry peat. Canal construction faces several technical problems, including stress that causes bending, water seepage under the dam, and erosion of peat by water forcing its way around the sides when the water level upstream exceeds the dam height. This research examines the behaviour of water flows in canals in peatland in Central Kalimantan after blocking with dams of different designs. This study used a survey method and hydraulic physical model test with a horizontal scale of 1:30 and a vertical scale of 1:10. Field measurements were carried out on the primary canal of the former Mega Rice Project Block C to build a physical model test prototype for laboratory research, includes measurement of cross-sections, canal length and water flow for a distance of 100 metres upstream and downstream of the construction. The test includes three types of the physical model, reviewed for the effect of flow patterns caused by flood discharge frequencies of 5, 25, 50 and 100 years. The effects of flow patterns on canal dam construction in peatland were obtained from the physical model test.

Deleted: resistent

To cite this article: Jaya, A., Baru, F.H.R.H., Sulistiyanto, Y., Kamiana, I.M., Adji, F.F., Nahan, A.R., Dohong, S. 2022. Assesing the Effects of Water Flow Patterns on Dam Construction in Degraded Tropical Peatlands. Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management 9(0):0000-0000, doi:10.15243/jdmlm. 2022.000.0000.

Introduction

Peatlands occupy only 3% of the global terrestrial surface (Vitt and Short, 2020), and are characterised by the accumulation of organic matter from dead and decaying plant debris under water-saturated conditions. Of the world's total peatland area of around 400 Mha (Maltby and Proctor, 1996), as much as 31-46 Mha or 10-12 % is located in tropical regions (Immirzi *et al*., 1992; Rieley *et al*., 1996; Page *et al*., 2011) and more than half (24.8 Mha or 56 %) of this is in Southeast Asia, mostly in Indonesia and Malaysia. Due to the considerable thickness (mean > 5 m) of peatlands in these two countries, they contain 77 % of the carbon stored in tropical peat globally (Page *et al*., 2011). Amongst these countries, Indonesia contains the largest area (around 13.43 Mha) of tropical peatland (Immirzi *et al*., 1992; Rieley *et al*., 1996; Page *et al*., 2011; Anda *et al*., 2021), located mainly on the islands of Sumatra, Kalimantan (Borneo) and Papua (Purnomo *et al*., 2019). These peatlands contain as much as 57 Gt of carbon, or about 65 % of the world's peat carbon (Page *et al*., 2011) and 7 % of the 861 GtC of global forest-based carbon stocks (Pan *et al*., 2013).

Tropical peatlands are important ecosystems for biodiversity conservation, climate regulation (Joosten, 2015) and human well-being (Wildayana, 2017). Besides being important carbon stores, they have high value for biodiversity because there are endemic and rare species with high conservation value, such as orangutans and tigers (Morrogh-Bernard *et al*., 2003; Posa *et al*., 2011; Sunarto *et al*., 2012). They are also a source of livelihood for local people (Anshari *et al*., 2005; Silvius and Diemont, 2007; Suyanto *et al*., 2009).

Indeed, peatlands in Indonesia have been widely used by people. Poor peatland management can lead to land degradation and forest and land fires. In Southeast Asia, conversion of around 10 Mha of peatland results in additional CO_2 emissions of 355–855 Mt yr^{-1} from peat oxidation (Canadell *et al*., 2007) while the increased incidence of peat and forest fires not only adds substantially to global greenhouse gas emissions, but also threatens public health and livelihoods locally (Marlier *et al*., 2013; Miettinen *et al*., 2017). Additionally, loss of peat through oxidation and fire results in land subsidence and increased risk of flooding (Hooijer *et al*., 2012; Evers *et al*., 2016; Evans *et al*., 2019) and risks exposing underlying sulphuric acid soils (Wösten *et al*., 1997), causing extremely low pH of water and soils. In such conditions, only certain types of biota can develop, including decreases of fish population (Baker *et al*., 1996), while low soil pH is an obstacle to plant growth (Noyaa *et al*., 2014).

Following Indonesia's major forest and peatland fires in 2015, the Government of the Republic of Indonesia established the Peatland Restoration Agency (*Badan Restorasi Gambut*; BRG) with the main objective to carry out peatland restoration based on rewetting (R1), revegetating (R2) and revitalising community economy (R3). Peat rewetting through blocking of canals used for farmland and plantation drainage, transport and/or timber removal from forests is therefore a key component of Indonesia's peatland restoration strategy (Dohong *et al*., 2017). Almost all studies report that surface and groundwater levels rise immediately after rewetting by blocking canals (Suryadiputra *et al*., 2005; Limin *et al*., 2007; Dohong and Lilia, 2008; Orangutan Project, 2010; Panda *et al*., 2012; Ritzema *et al*., 2014). Proper dam design is key to peatland wetting, to effectively raise and hold water levels along blocked canals and nearby locations. The dam design must be able to adapt and meet the main requirements of dam construction on tropical peatlands, such as low bearing capacity, high porosity, high permeability and high hydraulic conductivity (Zakaria, 1992; Page *et al*., 2009b; Ritzema *et al*., 2014). The design should be able to raise and maintain the desired water level as high as possible, especially during periods of poor rainfall and high evaporation. Dam design depends on drain size, water volume and water velocity.

Some of the technical problems encountered in the construction of canal water level control structures (dams) include: a) bending of transversely positioned wooden poles, especially in the middle of the bulkhead; b) erosion / seepage of water around the side of the bulkhead when water discharge is high during wet seasons, causing erosion of the surrounding peat forming a new canal for water to flow into the canal below; c) water seepage underneath the dam owing to swelling of the sacks of peat used as dam foundation and the remains of tree branches and logs that form gaps in the peat underneath (Suryadiputra *et al*., 2005). Research in the former Mega Rice Project (MRP) Block C in Central Kalimantan (Indonesia) by Ritzema *et al*., (2014) found that dams became damaged due to scouring, which creates depressions in the peatland surface, leading to interception of overland flow and interflow, and increased risk of overtopping of dams during extreme rainfall events.

To address and help develop solutions to these issues, we assessed the effects of water flow patterns on dam construction using hydraulic physical testing. This was done using a scale model, with the results of field measurements for the conduit conditions informing the development of a physical model in the laboratory. This research aims to understand the behaviour of water flow in peatland canals after canal blocking.

Methods

The research stages include the initial activities up to the measurements and observations made, as in Figure 1.

Deleted: ,

Commented [lr1]: 1992 and 1996 are too old references; please replace them with recent references (not more than 20 years old)

Deleted: ,

Commented [lr2]: 1997 is a too old reference; please replace it with recent references (not more than 20 years old)

Commented [lr3]: 1996 is a too old reference; please replace it with recent references (not more than 20 years old)

Deleted:

Commented [lr4]: 1992 is a too old reference; please replace it with recent references (not more than 20 years old)

Field measurement and hydrological characteristics of canal

Field measurements were carried out in the vicinity of a dam created on one of the canals in Block C of the ex-MRP (Figure 2), as a measure for hydrological restoration of degraded peat. The length of the canal measured in this study is 100 m. Measurement was made using the Theodolite tool with a distance interval of 10 m until a typical cross-sectional and elongated cross-sectional shape. While the measurements of canal discharge were made with a current meter with a distance of 10 m to the longitudinal direction of the canal and 2 m intervals to the transverse direction of the canal (Figure 3).

Deleted: for



Figure 1. Flowchart of Model Test



Figure 2. Location of measurement the characteristics of the canal for the physical model (blue line is water body, red line is road)



Figure 3. Sketch of Position of Canal Discharge Measurement

Field measurements were carried out following the Indonesian National Standard (SNI 03-1724, 1989; SNI 3409, 2008; SNI 3410, 2008; SNI 3411, 2008; SNI 3965, 2008; SNI 8066, 2015; SNI 2415, 2016; Soewarno 1995), and included namely: a) measurement of canal discharge using a current meter with a distance of 10 m to the longitudinal direction of the canal and 2 m intervals to the transverse direction of the canal; b) measurement of the canal dimensions using the Theodolite with an interval of 10 m; c) measurement of the depth of peat to the mineral soil layer using peat borer (or from secondary data).

Physical modelling

The scale of the physical model used in this study is based on several considerations: the purpose of the test, the expected accuracy, the available facilities, the time and cost required. There are two possible approaches to scaling a hydraulic physical model, namely: 1) undistorted model, in which the horizontal and vertical scales are the same; and 2) distorted model, in which the horizontal and vertical scales are not the same, i.e. there is a horizontal or vertical exaggeration. A distorted model is employed in this research.

Modelling research was conducted at the Hydraulics Laboratory, Faculty of Engineering, Palangka Raya University, Indonesia. To support the implementation of the physical model test in this study, tools and equipment were used, including a water storage pool, water pump, discharge measurement building, measuring the water level, flow velocity measuring device and the peat soil from around the dam location, for making the physical model of the canal.

Several parts of the prototype are imitated in the model using the type and size of the specified scale value, namely: a) the main canal is made of soil taken from the location of the canal blocking; b) typical canal cross-sections are made based on the longitudinal and transverse field measurements; and c) the canal bulkhead model construction is made of round profile wood. In testing the hydraulic flow behaviour and bulkhead stability, several models were tested, namely: a) Model Series 0 based on the field prototype; b) Model Series 1 based on a modified field prototype with an overflow in the middle of the construction; and c) Model Series 2 based on the results of the previous model (Figures 4-6). The above model is tested for variations in the flood discharge plan with return periods of 5, 25, 50 and 100 years.

In order to get the planned rainfall, the rainfall data in this study used the Tjilik Riwut Palangka Raya airport station data approach for the period 2006–2015. The method used to calculate the planned rainfall, namely the statistical method or distribution method of the maximum average daily rainfall by using several types of distribution, namely Normal Distribution, Log Normal Distribution, 3 Parameters Normal Log Distribution, Type III Log Pearson Distribution and Gumbel Distribution.

Commented [lr5]: Please replace this graph with a better (higher) resolution graph; with Times New Roman font

Commented [lr6]: 1995 is a too old reference; please replace it with recent references (not more than 20 years old)



(a) sketch (b) cross section 1-1

Figure 4. Sketch of Physical Test of Model Series 0 (*Original Design/Prototype*)



(a) sketch (b) cross section 1-1

Figure 5. Sketch of Physical Test of Model Series 1 (*Alternative*)



(a) sketch (b) cross section 1-1

Figure 6. Sketch of Physical Test of Model Series 2 (*Final Design*)

Types of observation, measurement and analysis

During the process of testing the physical model for various discharge variations, observations and measurements are made at predetermined sections, including:

a. measurement of water depth in both upstream and downstream canals and the spillway;
b. measurement of water flow velocity in canals and overflows; and
c. observation of water flow behaviour around the canal blocking construction model.

The field measurements obtained were then used as test variables, as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Parameter of model test

Parameter			Type
Description	Symbol	Unit	

Commented [lr7]: It would be better if the texts of this graph were written with Times New Roman font, as used for the whole text of this MS

Commented [lr8]: It would be better if the texts of this graph were written with Times New Roman font, as used for the whole text of this MS

Commented [lr9]: It would be better if the texts of this graph were written with Times New Roman font, as used for the whole text of this MS

- Canal capacity	Q	$m^3 dt^{-1}$	Water
- Spillway capacity	Q_s	$m^3 dt^{-1}$	depth
Flow characteristics:			
- upstream and downstream of the canal			Flow depth
- spillway			Flow velocity
- around construction			Flow direction

Calculation of planned flood discharge

A planned flood discharge is the maximum discharge from a river or canal, the amount of which is based/related to a specified return period (SNI 2415, 2016). The calculation of the planned flood discharge is used to determine the canal capacity and water level based on the flood discharge for a certain period. The planned flood discharge is a discharge with a certain return period, which is a parameter of water-building planning. Because the flow data in question are not available, several methods will be used in calculating the flood discharge (Kamiana 2010), namely:

a. Rational Method

Rainfall intensity (I, mm hour^{-1}) is calculated as:

$$I = \frac{R_{24}}{24} x \left[\frac{24}{T}\right]^{2/3} \quad \text{.....[1]}$$

Where R_{24} is daily rainfall (mm) and T = time concentration (hour) = $\frac{L}{W}$, L = canal length (km), W' = flow velocity (km hour^{-1})

Then:

$$Q_r = \frac{C.I.A}{3.6} = 0.278\ C.I.A \quad \text{.....[2]}$$

where Q_r = peak discharge (cfs), C = runoff coefficient, A = catchment area (km^2).

b. Wedumen Method

Equation : $Q_n = \alpha\ \beta\ q_n\ A$ ..... [3]

$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{4{,}1}{\beta\ q+7}\ ; \qquad \beta = \frac{120+[(t+1).(t+9)].A}{120+A}\ ; \quad q_n = \frac{R_n}{240}.\frac{67{,}65}{t+1{,}45}$$

Where Qn is *peak discharge* (cfs), t= time concentration (hour), Rn = rainfall plan (mm), A= catchment area (km^2)

c. Hasper Method

Equation : $Q_n = \alpha\ \beta\ q_n\ A$ ..... [4]

$$\alpha = \frac{1+0{,}012.A^{0,7}}{1+0{,}075.A^{0,7}}\ ; \frac{1}{\beta} = 1 + \frac{t+3{,}70x10^{-0,4t}}{t^2+15}.\frac{A^{0,75}}{12};$$

$$q_n = \frac{R_n}{3{,}6\ t} \qquad t = 0{,}10\ .L^{0{,}80}.S^{-0{,}3}$$

Where Qn is p*eak discharge* (cfs), t= time concentration (hour), Rn = rainfall plan (mm), A= catchment area (km^2), L= canal length (km) and S= canel slope (%).

Results

3.1 Rainfall Analysis

The type of distribution used is the one that meets the requirements as in Table 2, which shows that from the tests carried out above, the type of distribution that meets the requirements is Log Pearson III. The results of this distribution are used to calculate the planned rain intensity according to the specified period, as presented in Table 3.

Tables 2 and 3 show that from the tests carried out the type of distribution that meets the requirements (Soewarno, 1995) is Log Pearson III.

Table 2. Requirements for selecting the type of distribution

Distribution type	Requirement	Calculation	Note
Normal	Cs ≅ 0	Cs = 0.5088	Not accepted
	Ck ≅ 3	Ck = -0.3486	Not accepted
Log Normal	Cs ≅ Cv^3 + 3Cv = 0.1358	Cs = 0.0617	Not accepted

Commented [lr10]: What does "dt" mean?

Deleted: n

Deleted: water

Deleted: s

Deleted: over

Commented [lr11]: 1995 is a too old reference; please replace it with recent references (not more than 20 years old)

	$Ck \cong Cv^8 + 6Cv^6 + 15Cv^4 + 16Cv^2 + 3 = 3.0328$	Ck = -0.3174	Not accepted
3 Parameters Normal Log Distribution	Cs = 3Cv= 0.6757	Cs = 0.0617	Not accepted
	Ck ≅ 3	Ck = -0.3174	Not accepted
Log Person III Methods	Cs ≠ 0	Cs = 0.0617	ACCEPTED
	$Ck= 1,5\ Cs\ (\ln X)^2 + 3 = 3.0542$	Ck = -0.3174	Not accepted
Gumbel I Methods	Ck ≅ 5.4002	Ck = -0.3486	Not accepted
	Cs ≅1.1396	Cs = 0.5088	Not accepted

Table 3.Recapitulation of calculated rainfall plan (mm)

Period (year)	Normal	Log Normal	Log Normal 3 Parameter	Log Pearson Tipe III	Gumbel Tipe I
2	143.9900	140.7803	143.6580	140.4504	139.5956
5	171.2286	169.8865	152.2869	169.8218	178.2998
10	185.4964	187.4608	156.9935	187.8037	203.9253
25	199.3848	206.3125	159.9848	209.2725	236.3032
50	210.4651	222.7030	165.5944	224.5387	260.3230
100	219.5446	237.1001	168.7378	239.3196	284.1654

3.2. Planned Flood Discharge

By using rainfall data and several methods, namely the Rational Method (equation 1), the Wedumen Method (Equation 2) and the Hasper Method (Equation 3), the planned discharge is calculated. The recapitulation of the planned flood discharge calculation is shown in Table 4 and the discharge from the Hasper method has a more conservative value and is closer to the actual condition.

Table 4. Recapitulation of planned flood discharge calculations

Return Period (year)	Rational Methods Q_T ($m^3\,dt^{-1}$)	Hasper Methods Q_T ($m^3\,dt^{-1}$)	Wedumen Methods Q_T ($m^3\,dt^{-1}$)
2	7.3064	15.9503	20.8944
5	8.8344	19.2858	25.2640
10	9.7698	21.3280	27.9391
25	10.8866	23.7661	31.1329

3.3. Field Measurement

3.3.1. Cross-sectional and longitudinal cross-sectional measurements of the drainage canal.

The length of the canal measured in this study is 100 m where there is a dam that has been built. Measurement using the Theodolite tool with a distance interval of 10 m until a typical cross-sectional and elongated cross-sectional shape is obtained, as shown in Appendix 1 and 2.

3.3.2. Measurement of the existing canal blocking

The prototype canal block building in the field on the ex-PLG canal in Block C, consists of Belangiran log construction with a diameter of 10 cm to 20 cm. The average length of logs is 4 m and the stakes are between 1.3 m and 1.5 m deep. The inside is given a waterproof layer (*geotextile*) and filled with local soil in sacks. The shape and dimensions of the canal block building are as shown in Appendix 3.

3.4. Model Testing

3.4.1. The physical model of the canal

According to the results of field measurements of dam constructed as part of peatland rehabilitation carried out by BRG at the Blok C of the MRP (Appendix 3), the physical model of the canal and bulkhead was made following the initial design in an open space with a horizontal scale of 1:30 and a vertical scale of 1:10 (Figure 7). The inside is covered with a plastic sheet and then filled with peat soil material from the research location. The drainage

system is carried out by raising the water to the upper reservoir, from the upper reservoir and the discharge pump is regulated with a stop faucet, then it is flowed to the building under study and then through the drain canal it is flowed into the local drainage canal.



Figure 7. Physical model of the canal and measuring instrument (left) and physical model of serial 0

3.4.2. Canal Block Physical Model

After the canal block's construction, the water flow pattern in the canal changes due to an increase in energy and flow turbulence, as shown in Figure 8. The flow pattern in the series 0 models produces a vortex on both sides downstream of the canal block. This results in local scouring; i.e.scouring at the bottom of the canal that occurs locally or around the building. In the series 1 model, the flow pattern that occurs is almost the same as the series 0, but there is a backflow (feedback) that tends to rotate wider on both sides of the canal block. In the series 2 model, the water flow pattern changes, with a vortex reduction observed. This is due to the existence of a floor at the bottom of the canal, which functions as a dampener for water flow energy. If this scouring is not resolved, it can result in continuous erosion of the embankment and subgrade soil at the downstream part of the bulkhead building so that, eventually, the bulkhead loses its bearing capacity and hangs.

3.5. Recapitulation of physical model test results

From the physical model test results, a recapitulation of the physical model test results on flow behaviour can be made as presented in Table 5.

Model series 0 Model series 1



Model series 2

Figure 8. Water flow pattern downstream of the model

Table 5. Recapitulation of physical model tests on flow behaviour

Review	Planned Flood Discharge	Model Series 0	Model Series 1	Model Series 2
Water table upstream (cm)				
	Q5	15.4	15.5	22.6
	Q25	16.1	16	25
	Q50	27.2	27.3	27.8
	Q100	29	29	29.4
Water table downstream (cm)				
	Q5	11	11.5	19.9
	Q25	13.6	13.3	23.3
	Q50	25.2	26.1	26.1
	Q100	27	27.5	27.8
Water velocity (m sec^{-1})				
	Q5	0.6419	0.6264	0.5775
	Q25	0.7004	0.6570	0.6419
	Q50	0.7412	0.7142	0.6718
	Q100	0.8168	0.7672	0.7412
Scour depth of upstream of the spillway (cm)				
	Q5	1	3	-
	Q25	3	5	-
	Q50	6	7	-
	Q100	8	9	-
Leakage discharge ($m^3 dt^{-1}$)				
	Q5	0.0079	0.0079	0.0059
	Q25	0.0088	0.0088	0.0066
	Q50	0.0096	0.0096	0.0072
	Q100	0.0103	0.0103	0.0077

From the results of Table 5, it can be seen that the series 0 and 1 models with two overflow canals produce flow and scour velocities that are greater than the series 2 models. When the flood discharge occurs, the water level exceeds the bulkhead construction (runoff occurs), so it has the potential to erode the landfill and embankments at the edge of the canal bulkhead. When the planned discharge is Q5, the water level in the series 2 model does not occur in the bulkhead construction.

Deleted: that

From Figure 9, the 2 series model, the water flow rate that occurs is lower than in other models. So that the water flow that occurs has a smaller effect on the construction of canal blocking and embankments downstream. From Figure 11 in the series 2 model, no scouring occurs because there is an additional floor construction upstream of the construction. The greatest scour occurs in the series 1 model, which is 9 cm or 90 cm in the actual field.





Figure 9. Flow velocity at the downstream of the series 0 model (left) and scour depth (right)

Discussion → the discussion should be supported by relevant references

From the results of the recapitulation of the flow above, the advantages and disadvantages of each physical model are described in Table 6. The Series 2 model uses a planned flood discharge Q5 = 19.29 m^3 sec^{-1}, in the upstream canal the water level is 2.26 m (design height 2.3 m) and the embankment height is 2.5 m. Peat soils on the sides of the upstream canal can be maintained at a groundwater level of 0.2 m from the required 0.4 m. By submerging the peat soil, it is hoped that the danger of drought, which has the potential for land fires, can be minimised. Besides, the planned water level can be used for planting vegetation around the canal blocking buildings, both those that grow naturally and that is managed by the community. When the flood discharge Q50 = 25.50 m^3 sec^{-1} and Q100 = 27.18 m^3 sec^{-1} occurs, then the land to the left and right of the canal has the potential to flood because the water level is 2.94 m above the embankment height. This cannot be avoided because, topographically, the embankment height on the sides of the canal by the canal block is lower than the water level due to the flood discharge. Our suggested solution to this problem is to create a new canal (secondary canal) and increase the height of the embankment on the left and right of the canal.

Table 6. Description of the advantages and disadvantages of the physical test model

Physical Test Model	Advantages	Disadvantages

Commented [lr12]: Please replace this graph with a better (higher) resolution graph; with Times New Roman font

Commented [lr13]: Please replace this graph with a better (higher) resolution graph; with Times New Roman font

Deleted: minimized

Deleted: are

Model Series 0	- round wood material is easy to obtain - the work is easier because the connecting tool is in the form of nails - more water flow leads to the spillway	- need higher blocking for Q50 and Q100 flood discharge - deformation occurs during wall construction - need a wider spillway - scouring of the bottom of canals downstream of the spillway - leakage - because there are 2 overflow canals, vortex flow occurs downstream of the bulkhead - feedback water on both sides of the spillway so that it tends to erode the embankment
Model Series 1	- round wood material is easy to obtain - the work is easier because the connecting tool is in the form of nails	- need higher blocking for Q50 and Q100 flood discharge - wall radius work is difficult - need a wider spillway - leakage - scouring of the subgrade canals downstream of the spillway - vortex flow and feedback water occur downstream of the spillway so that it tends to erode the bottom and embankments
Model Series 2	- enough height of blocking for Q50 and Q100 flood discharge - water level at upstream 2.3 m (plan discharge Q5) - wide spillway - The vortex that occurs is small and the feedback water only occurs in the middle downstream of the bulkhead	- good round wood material, wide more than 6 m - work is more difficult because the fitting tool is a bolt - more construction materials (higher costs) - scouring of the embankment as a result *of feedback water*

Deleted: on

The series 2 model is the recommended model that can function properly and has safe stability with a planned discharge of Q5. It is important to consider that when flood discharge Q25, Q50, and Q100 occur, the bulkhead construction of the recommended model occurs, which can erode the downstream canal's subgrade. This condition can disrupt the bulkhead construction's stability due to the loss of the subgrade for the footing of log poles. A possible solution to this problem is to increase the depth of the log piles and increase the floor's length downstream of the bulkhead construction.

Deleted:

Deleted: the

From the results of the physical model test and analysis that have been carried out on the three model designs, the followings were obtained:

1. Model 0 series is only able to accommodate the planned flood discharge Q5 and Q25. In the conditions of Q50 and Q100, the existing overflow canals are not able to drain the resulting discharge resulting in water runoff in the canal blocking construction. This condition results in scouring the soil filling the bulkhead and creating new water flows in the side embankment of the canal blocking construction. The flow pattern of water downstream of the abundance occurs vortex and return water flow.
2. The series 1 model has almost the same flow pattern behaviour as the prototype model. Downstream of the bulkhead construction, vortices occur on both sides of the spillway so that the flow pattern tends to erode the embankment cliffs. Due to the curved geometry of the model, the upstream water flow and leading to the overflow canal also tend to lead to the edge of the bulkhead construction embankment. This has eroded the embankment at the edge of the bulkhead construction. Nevertheless, this is the recommended model type for further use in tropical peatland rewetting activities because of ease regarding dam material and ease of work on dam construction. Model series 2 on flood discharge Q100 the water level inundated the embankment but there was no overtopping in the canal blocking construction. Downstream, the flow pattern tends to erode the embankment cliffs due to the backwater flow with an influence of ±20 m. The addition of floor construction downstream affects the reduced vortex, and there is no scouring at the bottom of the canal due to falling water.

3. Hydrological restoration using canal blocking is an effective way of retaining water in peat areas and in particular raising the groundwater table. Shallow groundwater caused by canal blocking can improve the peat ecosystem, especially by reducing carbon dioxide emissions. The results of the Indonesian government's efforts to carry out hydrological restoration will be very effective and this research model 1 can be applied.

Conclusion

Dam construction model tested has its strengths and weaknesses, but the type of model that is recommended for further use in tropical peatland wetting activities is the Model 1 series because of the ease of dam material and ease of dam construction. The results of the Indonesian government's efforts to carry out hydrological restoration will be very effective and this 1-series model can be applied.

Author Contributions

The joint first authors AJ and FHRHB led the study, and drafted the manuscript with AJ and YS; FHRHB and AN undertook data collection and analysis. All authors were involved in designing the study and read, commented on and contributed to the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank to Professor J.O Rieley who has provided input and improvements to the manuscript, also to Dr Mark E. Harrison for some of their valuable corrective inputs. To the Dean of the Faculty of Engineering, Palangka Raya University who has supported this research, as well as to several colleagues in the initial data collection, field surveys and during model testing.

References

Anda, M., Ritung. S., Suryani, E., Sukarman, Hikmat, M., Yatno, E., Mulyani, A., Subandiono, R.E., Suratman. and Husnain. 2021. Revisiting tropical peatlands in Indonesia: Semi-detailed mapping, extent and depth distribution assessment. *Geoderma*, 402, 115235, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2021.115235.

Anshari, G.Z. 2005. Carbon decline from peatlands and its implications on livelihood security of local communities. In: *Carbon Forestry: Who Will Benefit?* Proceedings of workshop on carbon sequestration and sustainable livelihoods held in Bogor, Indonesia, 16-17 February, 2005 (pp. 112-123). Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).

Baker, J.P., Sickle, J.V., Gagen, C.J., DeWalle, D.R., Sharpe, W.E., Carline, R.F. and Wigington, J.P.J. 1996. Episodic acidification of small streams in the Northeast United States IV: Effects on fish populations. *Ecological Applications*, 6(2), 422–437.

Canadell, J.G., Le Qu´er´e, C., Raupach, M.R., Field, C.B., Buiten-huis, E.T., Ciais, P., Conway, T.J, Gillett, N.P., Houghton, R.A. and Marland, G. 2007. Contributions to accelerating atmospheric CO2 growth from economic activity, carbon intensity, and efficiency of natural sinks. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences*, 104, 18866–18870.

Chow, V.T. 1988. *Open-Channel Hydraulics*. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York → NOT CITED

Dohong, A. and Lilia. 2008. Hydrology restoration of ex Mega Rice Project Central Kalimantan through canal blocking technique: lessons learned and steps forward. In: Wosten, J.H.M., Rieley, J.O., Page, S.E. (eds.) *Restoration of Tropical Peatland*, ALTERRA-Wageningen University and Research Centre and the EU INCO-RESTOPEAT Partnership, Wageningen, pp 125–130.

Dohong, A., Cassiophea, L., Sutikno, S., Triadi, B.L., Wirada, F., Rengganis, P. and Sigalingging, L. 2017. Modul Pelatihan Pembangunan Infrastruktur Pembasahan Gambut Sekat Kanal Berbasis Masyarakat. Badan Restorasi Gambut Indonesia. 72p.

Evans, C.D., Williamsona, J.M., Kacaribu, F., Irawan, D., Suardiwerianto, Y., Fikky Hidayat, M.F., Laurén, A. and Page, S.E. 2019. Rates and spatial variability of peat subsidence in Acacia plantation and forest landscapes in Sumatra, Indonesia. *Geoderma*, 338:410-421.

Evers, S., Yule, C.M., Padfield, R., O'Reilly, P. and Varkkey, H. 2016. Keep wetlands wet: the myth of sustainable development of tropical peatlands – implications for policies and management. *Global Change Biology*, 23 (2): 534-549.

Hooijer, A., Page, S.E., Jauhiainen, J., Lee, W.A., Lu, X.X., Idris, A. and Anshari, G. 2012. Subsidence and carbon loss in drained tropical peatlands. *Biogeosciences*, 9:1053–1071. doi: 10.5194/bg-9-1053-2012.

Immirzi, C.P., Maltby, E. and Clymo, R.S. 1992. The global status of peatlands and their role in carbon cycling, Report No. 11, Wetlands Research Group, Friends of the Earth, London, 145 pp.

Joosten, H. 2015. *Peatlands, climate change mitigation and biodiversity conservation: An issue brief on the importance of peatlands for carbon and biodiversity conservation and the role of drained peatlands as greenhouse gas emission hotspots* (Vol. 2015727). Nordic Council of Ministers.

Kamiana, I.M. 2010. Teknik Perhitungan Debit Rencana Bangunan Air. Graha Ilmu, Yogyakarta.

Commented [lr14]: 1996 is a too old reference; please replace it with recent references (not more than 20 years old)

Deleted: --

Commented [lr15]: 1992 is a too old reference; please replace it with recent references (not more than 20 years old)

Limin, S, Yunsiska, E., Kusin, K. and Alim S. 2007 Restoration of hydrology status as the key to rehabilitation of damaged peatland in Central Kalimantan. Carbon-climate-human interaction on tropical peatland, Proceedings of the International Symposium and Workshop on Tropical Peatland, Yoyakarta, Indonesia, 27–29.

Maltby, E. and Proctor, M.C.F. 1996 *Peatlands: their nature and role in the biosphere*. In: Lappalainen, E. (ed.) *Global Peat Resources*, International Peat Society, Jyväskylä, Finland, 11-19.

Marlier, M.E., DeFries, R.S., Voulgarakis, A., Kinney, P.L., Randerson, J.T., Shindell, D.T., Chen, Y. and Faluvegi, G. 2013. El Niño and health risks from landscape fire emissions in southeast Asia. *Nature Climate. Change* 3 (2): 131-136, doi:10.1038/nclimate1658.

Miettinen, J., Chenghua Shi, C. and Soo Chin Liew S.C. 2017. Fire distribution in Peninsular Malaysia, Sumatra and Borneo in 2015 with special emphasis on peatland fires. *Environmental Management*, 60: 747–757.

Morrogh-Bernard, H., Husson, S., Page, S.E. and Rieley, J.O. 2003. Population status of the Bornean orang-utan (Pongo pygmaeus) in the Sebangau peat swamp forest, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Biological Conservation*, 110:141–152.

Noyaa, A.I. Ghulamahdi, M., Sopandie, D., Sutandi, A. and Melati, M. 2014. Pengaruh Kedalaman Muka Air dan Amelioran terhadap Produktivitas Kedelai di Lahan Sulfat Masam. *Jurnal Pangan*, 23 (2): 120-132.

Orangutan Project. 2010. Annual report, 2010, the orang-utan tropical peatland project, Palangka Raya, Indonesia

Page, S.E., Hoscio, A., Wösten, H., Jauhiainen, J., Silvius, M., Rieley, J., Ritzema, H., Tansey, K., Graham, L., Vasander, H. and Limin, S. 2009. Restoration ecology of lowland tropical peatlands in Southeast Asia: current knowledge and future research directions. *Ecosystems*, 12:888–905

Page, S.E., Rieley, J.O. and Banks, C.J. 2011. Global and regional importance of the tropical peatland carbon pool. *Global Change Biology*, 17: 798–818.

Pan Y., Birdsey, R.A., Phillips, O.L. and Jackson, R.B. 2013. The structure, distribution, and biomass of the World's Forests. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 44:593-622.

Panda, A., deVries, B.R., Rosidi, M., Simon, O., Ansori, M. and Kasih, R.C. 2012. Rewetting the Sebangau Peatland Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. Wild Fire and Carbon Management in Peat-Forest in Indonesia, Palangka Raya, Indonesia, 22–24

Posa, M.R.C., Wijedasa, L.S. and Corlett, R.T. 2011. Biodiversity and conservation of tropical peat swamp forests. *Bioscience*, 61:49–57.

Purnomo, E.P., Ramdani, R., Agustiyara, A., Tomaro, Q.P.V. and Samidjo, G.S. 2019. Land ownership transformation before and after forest fires in Indonesian palm oil plantation areas. *Journal of Land Use Science*, 14(1), 37–51. https://doi.org/10.1080/1747423X.2019.1614686

Rieley, J.O., Ahmad-Shah, A-A. and Brady, M.A. 1996. *The extent and nature of tropical peat swamps, In Tropical Lowland Peatlands of Southeast Asia*. Proceedings of a Workshop on Integrated Planning and Management of Tropical Lowland Peatlands, Cisarua, Indonesia, 3-8 July 1992, Maltby, E., Immirzi, C.P., Safford, R.J. (eds.), IUCN, Gland, Switzerland, 294 pp.

Ritzema, H., Limin, S., Usin, K., Jauhiainen, J. and Wösten, H. 2014. Canal blocking strategies for hydrological restoration of degraded tropical peatlands in Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Catena*, 114:11-20.

Silvius, M. and Diemont, H. 2007. Peatlands, climate change, poverty, biofuels, pulp and reduced emissions from deforestation and degradation. Institute for Environmental Studies.

SNI 03-1724. 1989. Pedoman perencanaan hidrologi dan hidraulik untuk bangunan di sungai. Badan Standarisasi Nasional, Jakarta.

SNI 2415. 2016. Tata cara perhitungan debit banjir rencana. Badan Standarisasi Nasional, Jakarta.

SNI 3409. 2008. Tata cara pengukuran kecepatan aliran pada uji model hidraulik fisik dengan tabung pitot. Badan Standarisasi Nasional, Jakarta.

SNI 3410. 2008. Tata cara pengukuran pola aliran pada model fisik. Badan Standarisasi Nasional, Jakarta.

SNI 3411. 2008 . Tata cara pengukuran tinggi muka air pada model fisik. Badan Standarisasi Nasional, Jakarta.

SNI 3965. 2008. Tata cara pembuatan model fisik sungai dengan dasar tetap. Badan Standarisasi Nasional, Jakarta.

SNI 8066. 2015. Tata cara pengukuran debit aliran sungai dan saluran terbuka menggunakan alat ukur arus dan pelampung. Badan Standarisasi Nasional, Jakarta.

Soewarno. 1995. Hidrologi Pengukuran dan Pengolahan Data Aliran Sungai (*Hidrometri*). Nova, Bandung.

Sunarto, S., Kelly, M.J., Parakkasi, K., Klenzendorf, S., Septayuda, E. and Kurniawan, H. 2012. Tigers need cover: multi-scale occupancy study of the big cat in n forest and plantation landscapes. PLoS One 7(1): p.e30859

Suryadiputra, I N.N., Dohong, A., Waspodo, R.S.B., Muslihat, L., Lubis, I.R., Hasudungan, F. and Wibisono, I.T.C. 2005. Panduan Penyekatan Parit dan Saluran di Lahan Gambut Bersama Masyarakat. Proyek Climate Change, Forests and Peatlands in Indonesia. Wetlands International – Indonesia Programme and Wildlife Habitat Canada. Bogor.

Suyanto, S., Khususiyah, N., Sardi, I., Buana, Y. and van Noordwijk, M. 2009. Analysis of local livelihoods from past to present in the Central Kalimantan ex-Mega Rice Project area. World Agroforestry Centre, Bogor.

Vitt, D.H., and Short, P. 2020. *Peatlands* (pp. 27-36). CRC Press.

Wildayana, E. 2017. Challenging constraints of livelihoods for farmers in the South Sumatra Peatlands, Indonesia. *Bulg. J. Agric. Sci.*, 23 (6), 894–905.

Wösten, J.H.M., Ismail, A.B. and van Wijk, A.L.M. 1997. Peat subsidence and its practical implications: a case study in Malaysia. *Geoderma*, 78:25–36. doi: 10.1016/S0016-7061(97)00013-X.

Zakaria, S. 1992. Water management in deep peat soils in Malaysia. PhD Thesis, Cranfield University.

Commented [lr16]: 1996 is a too old reference; please replace it with recent references (not more than 20 years old)

Commented [lr17]: 1996 is a too old reference; please replace it with recent references (not more than 20 years old)

Commented [lr18]: 1989 is a too old reference; please replace it with recent references (not more than 20 years old)

Commented [lr19]: 1995 is a too old reference; please replace it with recent references (not more than 20 years old)

Commented [lr20]: 1997 is a too old reference; please replace it with recent references (not more than 20 years old)

Commented [lr21]: 1992 is a too old reference; please replace it with recent references (not more than 20 years old)

Appendix 1. The results of the transverse measurement of the canal at Block C of the MRP



B= 21.7 m



B= 22.6 m



B= 22 m



B = 22 m



B = 22 m



B = 22 m



B = 22 m



B = 22 m



B = 22.8 m



B = 22 m

Appendix 2. The longitudinal measurement results of the canal

Commented [lr22]: Insert this as a figure in a relevant section of this manuscript (not an appendix)
Please replace this graph with a better (higher) resolution graph; with Times New Roman font

Commented [lr23]: Insert this as a figure in a relevant section of this manuscript (not an appendix).
Please replace this graph with a better (higher) resolution graph; with Times New Roman font



Appendix 3. coss-section of existing canal (unit in m)



Commented [lr24]: Insert this as a figure in a relevant section of this manuscript (not an appendix)
Please replace this graph with a better (higher) resolution graph; with Times New Roman font