

Anthropogenic impacts on lowland tropical peatland biogeochemistry

By Adi Jaya



Anthropogenic impacts on lowland tropical peatland biogeochemistry

Susan Page¹✉, Shailendra Mishra², Fahmuddin Agus³, Gusti Anshari^{4,5}, Greta Dargie⁶, Stephanie Evers^{7,8}, Jyrki Jauhiainen^{9,10}, Adi Jaya¹¹, Antonio Jonay Jovani-Sancho^{12,13}, Ari Laurén¹⁴, Sofie Sjögersten¹³, Ifo Averti Suspense¹⁵, Lahiru S. Wijedasa¹⁶ and Chris D. Evans¹²

Abstract | Tropical peatlands store around one-sixth of the global peatland carbon pool (105 gigatonnes), equivalent to 30% of the carbon held in rainforest vegetation. Deforestation, drainage, fire and conversion to agricultural land threaten these ecosystems and their role in carbon sequestration. In this Review, we discuss the biogeochemistry of tropical peatlands and the impacts of ongoing anthropogenic modifications. Extensive peatlands are found in Southeast Asia, the Congo Basin and Amazonia, but their total global area remains unknown owing to inadequate data. Anthropogenic transformations result in high carbon loss and reduced carbon storage, increased greenhouse gas emissions, loss of hydrological integrity and peat subsidence accompanied by an enhanced risk of flooding. Moreover, the resulting nutrient storage and cycling changes necessitate fertilizer inputs to sustain crop production, further disturbing the ecosystem and increasing greenhouse gas emissions. Under a warming climate, these impacts are likely to intensify, with both disturbed and intact peat swamps at risk of losing 20% of current carbon stocks by 2100. Improved measurement and observation of carbon pools and fluxes, along with process-based biogeochemical knowledge, is needed to support management strategies, protect tropical peatland carbon stocks and mitigate greenhouse gas emissions.

Peatlands hold the largest terrestrial pool of organic carbon (C) in the biosphere, storing 600–650 gigatonnes (Gt) (REFS^{1–3}). They also play a part in the cycling of nutrients and the delivery of other ecosystem services, including regulation of the water supply and biodiversity support. Most of the global peatland C stock is in the high northern latitudes (TABLE 1) and is largely remote from human influence. However, approximately 16% of peatland C (around 105 Gt)^{1,2} is held in C-dense tropical peatlands, some of which are close to large and growing human populations⁴. The utilization of peatlands for forestry, agriculture and other purposes has converted them from a long-term C sink into an intense source of greenhouse gas emissions, contributing about 5% of global anthropogenic emissions⁵. Mid-latitude and tropical peatlands supply the majority of this total^{6,7} and are increasingly acknowledged as critical in the global C cycle and in efforts to combat climate change^{8–11}.

There is growing understanding and recognition of tropical peatland extent and the consequences of human and climate-driven disturbances, particularly in loss of stored C and enhanced greenhouse gas emissions¹¹. Anthropogenic impacts on tropical peatlands span a gradient from minor vegetation modification through

to vegetation removal, alteration of hydrology by drainage, and changes in peat physical and biogeochemical properties resulting from land-use conversion and fire. These alterations have been extensive in Southeast Asia, but peatlands in other tropical regions are increasingly exposed to human and climate impacts as a result of socio-economic development, warming temperatures and altered rainfall regimes^{8,10}.

Avoiding tropical peatland deforestation, drainage, agricultural conversion and fire can halt the transfer of this large C pool to the atmosphere. Ongoing or re-established C sequestration through initiatives to protect and restore peatland ecosystem functions provides a potential low-cost pathway to climate mitigation. Intervention measures to protect intact tropical peatlands alongside rewetting and revegetation of drained peatlands could drive these ecosystems towards a pre-eminent role in natural climate solutions^{8–10}.

In this Review, we discuss the contributions that tropical peatlands make to biogeochemical cycling and examine how land-use and fire-driven transformations alter biogeochemical processes. Lowland systems are the focus, because they occupy large areas, but we acknowledge the existence of smaller, tropical montane

✉e-mail: sep5@le.ac.uk

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00289-6>

Key points

- 37 Tropical peatlands are important in terms of the global carbon cycle and in efforts to combat climate change, with a growing recognition of their potential role in natural climate solutions.
- Tropical peatlands occupy approximately 440,000 km² across Southeast Asia, Central Africa and South and Central America, and are mostly forested. They are among the world's most carbon-dense ecosystems with a belowground carbon stock of about 105 gigatonnes (Gt).
- Although tropical peatlands in Africa and in South and Central America remain largely intact, those in Southeast Asia have undergone widespread transformations owing to deforestation, drainage and agricultural conversion.
- Land-use changes result in rapid peat carbon loss, high greenhouse gas emissions, land subsidence, changes in hydrology and nutrient cycling, and an increased risk of fire.
- Management priorities include protection of the carbon sink function of intact forested peatlands; restoration of degraded, forested peatlands; and improved management of agricultural peatlands by raising water levels to mitigate carbon losses and greenhouse gas emissions.
- 38 The response of tropical peatlands and their carbon stocks to anthropogenic warming and associated changes in hydroclimate remain an area of uncertainty.

peatlands. We consider the potential impacts of ongoing habitat degradation and a warming climate on the future biogeochemistry of these ecosystems and explore emerging opportunities to protect their C stocks and mitigate greenhouse gas emissions.

Location, formation and vegetation

Tropical peatlands form where high year-round rainfall and humidity combined with low-lying topography and poor drainage have resulted in persistent soil saturation, low oxygen availability and reduced rates of plant litter decomposition (FIG. 1; TABLE 1). They occupy at least 440,000 km², and perhaps more than 600,000 km² if extensive wetlands in South America are confirmed to be peat-forming²⁴, and have a C store that is equivalent to 30% of the C held in rainforest vegetation¹². Tropical peatlands have their greatest extent in Southeast Asia^{2,13–18}, Africa^{12,19–24}, and Central and South America^{2,4,25–29} (TABLE 2). Southeast Asian peatlands were

described in scientific accounts from the early twentieth century³⁰, but peatlands across the rest of the tropics 33 largely only been documented since 2010. Indeed, the world's largest single expanse of tropical peatland in the Congo Basin, covering 146,000 km² (an area larger than England or the island of Java, for example), was only described in 2017 (REF.¹).

Differences in past and contemporary hydroclimate and geomorphological setting have resulted in variations in peat and C accumulation rates over time. The build-up of most Southeast Asian peatlands occurred throughout the Holocene, although accumulation at some inland sites in Kalimantan, Indonesia, slowed or ceased from around 2,000 years ago¹⁵. This slowdown has been attributed to strengthening El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) activity that increasingly led to peat oxidation rather than accumulation, owing to increased rainfall seasonality and associated extended periods of drought¹⁵. Reduced rates or discontinuities in peat accumulation are evident on other continents; for example, from 1,300 to 400 calendar years before present (cal yr BP) in Amazonian Peru²⁶ and 7,300 to 2,000 cal yr BP in the Congo Basin^{1,24}. These slowdowns were probably also driven by hydroclimatic changes (increased rainfall seasonality and/or lower annual rainfall) and an increase in peat decay. In both these latter locations, peat accumulation resumed following the return of wetter site conditions, specifically a higher water table with less seasonal variability.

The vegetation of most intact lowland peatlands comprises evergreen trees, palms, or a combination of the two (FIG. 1). Large hardwood species dominate Southeast Asian peatlands³¹. In Africa and the Americas, there are extensive palm communities (*Raphia*, *Mauritia* and *Mauritiella* spp.)^{31,32} in addition to hardwood trees, along with open, sedge-dominated vegetation. At the scale of a single peatland, vegetation frequently displays a zonation from shallow to deeper peat based on flooding and nutrient gradients^{1,25,32,33}. This pattern is mirrored by increasing tree stem density, decreasing tree height and decreasing aboveground biomass. At a local scale, distinct plant assemblages occur in response to peat surface microtopography, with wet, sparsely vegetated anaerobic hollows interspersed by moist, aerobic hummocks that support most of the tree growth and that are formed by buttressed tree bases and root systems^{34–36}.

Land-use changes and impacts

Extensive land-use changes have occurred on Southeast Asian peatlands. In peninsular Malaysia, Borneo and Sumatra, peatland covered by native forest declined from 119,000 km² in 1990 to 46,000 km² in 2015 (with most of this forest disturbed by timber extraction and unlogged forest occupying less than 10,000 km²), while agricultural areas increased from 17,000 km² to 78,000 km² over the same time period³⁷. The extent of these changes and the rapid loss of intact peat swamp forest is globally unprecedented.

Peatland conversion in Indonesia and Malaysia has been driven by small-scale farmers (43–44%) and industrial plantation companies producing palm oil (39%) and pulp and paper (11–26%)^{37,38}. In addition, an extensive

Author addresses

¹School of Geography, Geology and the Environment, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK.

²Asian School of the Environment, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore.

³Indonesian Soil Research Institute, Bogor, Indonesia.

⁴Magister of Environmental Science, Universitas Tanjungpura (Untan), Pontianak, Indonesia.

⁵Soil Science Department, Universitas Tanjungpura (Untan), Pontianak, Indonesia.

⁶School of Geography, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK.

⁷School of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK.

⁸School of Environmental and Geographical Sciences, University of Nottingham Malaysia Campus, Sem 20, Malaysia.

⁹Department of Forest Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.

¹⁰Natural Resources Institute Finland (Luke), Helsinki, Finland.

¹¹Faculty of Agriculture, University of Palangka Raya, Palangka Raya, Indonesia.

¹²UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Bangor, UK.

¹³School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham, Loughborough, UK.

¹⁴School of Forest Sciences, University of Eastern Finland, Joensuu, Finland.

¹⁵Ecole Normale Supérieure, Université Marien N'Gouabi, Brazzaville, Republic of Congo.

¹⁶Integrated Tropical Peatland Research Programme, NUS Environmental Research Institute (NERI), National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore.

area of deforested and fire-prone peatland is idle with no current economic use^{37,39}. In other tropical regions, peatland utilization is typically limited to lower-impact activities that do not require drainage. These activities include the collection of palm fruits in Amazonia^{40,41} and the use of forest resources for subsistence livelihoods in the Congo Basin⁴². There is, however, more extensive agriculture on peatlands in eastern and southern Africa⁴³. Extraction of non-timber forest products, such as wild fruits, can cause ecosystem degradation⁴⁰, whereas the threat of future land-use changes for remaining intact peatlands is considerable, driven by the potential for timber extraction, agricultural conversion and development of inland oil fields^{42,44}. The consequences of this land-use change are discussed in the following sections.

Hydrological consequences of drainage

Agricultural uses of peatland are often accompanied by drainage measures because dryland crops that cannot tolerate prolonged inundation are grown. In an undrained state, ombrotrophic tropical peatlands (TABLES 1, 2) have high to very high peat hydraulic conductivity (permeability), similar to gravel⁴⁵; lower conductivity is noted for peatlands influenced by river flood waters⁴⁶. Despite their convex, domed surface topography (TABLE 1) and

elevated permeability, intact peatlands are maintained in a saturated or near-saturated condition because of high rainfall and the roughness of the peat surface (hummock-hollow microtopography). In combination, these features ensure high water storage capacity at the peat surface, a low hydraulic gradient, and slow radial water loss towards the edge of the dome^{18,45}. Water storage responds mainly to losses via evapotranspiration from the peat surface and vegetation. Once a peatland has been deforested and drained, the contribution of subsurface flow greatly increases. Forest removal reduces evapotranspirative losses, resulting in increased runoff and flashiness of water-table variations^{18,45}, while drainage reduces peat porosity and water storage capacity⁴⁷. Accordingly, peatlands converted for agriculture can be over-supplied with water during the wet season, leading to flooding, but under-supplied during the dry season, when there is a risk of water deficit^{45,48} and fire.

Peat subsidence

The hydrological impacts of peatland conversion are exacerbated by other changes initiated by drainage, namely peat subsidence, which increases the risk of flooding. Subsidence is a function of physical and biological processes, comprising peat compaction following

Table 1 | Key differences and similarities for tropical and mid-to-high latitude peatlands

	Low-latitude peatlands	Mid- and high-latitude peatlands
Location	Extensive in equatorial lowlands	Extend from the temperate zone to north of the Arctic Circle, with greatest extent in the boreal zone of the Northern Hemisphere
Climate	Associated with a humid equatorial climate, high temperatures (27–28 °C), high annual precipitation (2,000–4,000 mm) and high humidity ¹⁵ . There can be a short dry season (up to 2 months) but rainfall usually exceeds 100 mm during the driest month	Associated with cool climates, high effective precipitation (precipitation minus evapotranspiration) and, for the most northerly peatlands, permafrost and extended periods of snow cover
Vegetation	Multi-layered tropical forest is characteristic; open sedge-dominated communities also occur in some locations	Dominated by mosses, sedges, low-growing shrubs and single-layered tree stands
Peat	Consists of partially decomposed, plant-derived organic material accumulated under anoxic, water-saturated conditions. Organic matter content is typically >60% (dry weight) resulting in a high carbon content (45–55% dry weight of organic material) and a high water retention capacity	
	Dominated by woody remains	Mainly composed of moss and herbaceous plant remains
Peat formation	Peat forms in locations where the addition of organic matter to the peat surface exceeds its loss through decomposition over long time periods	
	Climatic conditions (constant moisture availability, high temperatures) are conducive to rapid decomposition of plant litter, but peat deposits have accumulated owing to a combination of high rates of litter production, litter recalcitrance and waterlogging, often combined with high acidity (pH < 4.5) and low nutrient availability that constrain the soil decomposer community	Despite relatively low rates of plant litter production, there is net accumulation of organic matter owing to low temperatures, waterlogging and, in some locations, permafrost, all of which limit the decay rate
Hydrology	Ombrotrophic peatlands receive water and nutrient inputs solely from the atmosphere, primarily as precipitation; they are acidic and nutrient-poor with a shallowly domed peat surface and a groundwater table close to the peat surface throughout the year	
	Minerotrophic peatlands receive water inputs from precipitation and groundwater that has been in contact with soil and bedrock; they are usually less acidic and more nutrient-rich than ombrotrophic systems	
	Most Southeast Asian and the Congo Basin peatlands are domed, ombrotrophic systems. Minerotrophic peatlands occur in the Amazon basin and some river basins in Africa, where their hydrology is influenced by river flood pulses	Mid- and high-latitude peatlands comprise a mix of ombrotrophic and minerotrophic peatlands (bogs and fens)

lowering of the water level and peat oxidation above the water level, which stimulates microbial decomposition and the release of C (as carbon dioxide (CO₂)) to the atmosphere^{49,50}. Initial subsidence can be rapid, accounting for surface lowering of up to 1 m in the first 2 years⁵⁰. Subsequently, when decomposition is the dominant process, rates are in the range 3–5 cm yr⁻¹ for plantation landscapes in Southeast Asia^{50–53}, with a mean value of 2.2 cm yr⁻¹ across all degraded and converted land covers⁵⁴.

Over time, drainage-driven subsidence changes peat dome morphology and reduces C storage capacity⁵⁵. The reduction in the mean slope of the dome reduces water flow velocity in the drainage network and increases surface inundation risk during periods of high rainfall^{56–58}. Over time, subsidence will bring the peat surface within reach of river flood levels or coastal high tides, increasing flooding duration and extent and the threat of saline

intrusion^{50,53,59}. Unlike in northern peatlands, pumped drainage to maintain low water levels for agricultural production will probably not be feasible in the tropics owing to economic and practical difficulties associated with installing and maintaining infrastructure capable of operating under high rainfall rates and flashy flows. Unless dryland crops are replaced by species adapted to inundation^{38,60}, agriculture on drained tropical peatlands will inevitably end when gravity drainage becomes impossible.

A further risk associated with peat subsidence is the eventual exposure of underlying infertile sands or previously waterlogged pyrite-rich marine clays to aerobic conditions^{61,62}. Oxidation of pyrite (iron sulfide) produces sulfuric acid, resulting in severe acidification of peat and drainage waters. The drop in pH (to <pH2.0 in some cases) mobilizes metals into solution, including Al, Fe, Mn and As (REF⁶³). This drainage water can be toxic




Fig. 1 | Global distribution of tropical peatlands. Peatlands are shown in brown shades with photos of representative peat swamps from select regions that are still in pristine or near-pristine condition. **A** | Peatland in Central and South America. Examples of peatlands include a natural swam⁸ with a few stunted trees and beds of *Cladium*, Panama (panel **Aa**), and *Mauritia flexuosa*-dominated palm swamp (locally known as an aguajál), Pacaya-Samiria National Reserve, Loreto Region, Peru (panel **Ab**). **B** | Peatlands in Africa. Examples include a pristine peat swamp forest, Republic of Congo (panel **Ba**), and a papyrus (*Cyperus papyrus*) peatland, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia (panel **Bb**). **C** | Peatlands in Asia. Examples include a peat swamp forest, Badas, Brunei (panel **Ca**), peat swamp forest (Berbak

National Park), Jambi, Indonesia (panel **Cb**), peat swamp forest dominated by *Melaleuca cajuputi*, Camau, Vietnam (panel **Cc**), and peat swamp forest, Sebangau National Park, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia (panel **Cd**). Tropical peatlands are a distinctive ecosystem characterized by deep organic soils that have accumula³⁵ under conditions of poor drainage and permanent soil saturation. Most of the world's tropical peatlands are found in Southeast Asia, particularly in Indonesia and Malaysia, in the Peruvian ³azon in South America and in the Congo Basin in central Africa. Figure is adapted from REF⁶, CC BY 4.0 (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Photo credits: Lydia Cole (panel **Ab**), Simon Lewis (panel **Ba**), Hans Joosten (panel **Bb**).

Table 2 | Summary information on the location, extent, thickness and development of tropical peatlands

Region	Location	Peatland extent and thickness	Initiation and development
Southeast Asia	Islands of Borneo, Sumatra and New Guinea (Papua); peninsular Malaysia ² Gently sloping domes located on coastal plains and sub-coastal interfluvies ^{13–15}	Area 244,000–300,000 km ² (REF. ²); peat thickness averages 5–8 m, maximum 18 m (REFS ^{2,16})	Peatlands were initiated by succeeding mangrove forest on marine clays; replacing freshwater swamp on riverine alluvium; and less often, through terrestrialization (gradual infilling) of lake basins ^{13–15,17} Pre-Holocene peats are described from a limited number of inland sites in Kalimantan with peat formation commencing between 47,000 calyr BP (REF. ¹⁸) and 26,000 calyr BP (REF. ¹⁴) Most peatlands started formation between 26,000 and 4,000 calyr BP (REFS ^{13–15}) in response to high rainfall and the stabilization and subsequent regression of the sea-level. These changes exposed large areas of flat, low-lying coastal land for vegetation succession and impeded river drainage further inland, initiating both coastal and sub-coastal interfluvial peat development Peat surface topographies are domed. As dome elevation increased, rainwater replaced tidal and riverine waters as the principal water source at the peat surface. During transition from minerotrophic (groundwater-fed) to ombrotrophic (rain-fed) conditions, accumulating peat became increasingly nutrient-poor and acidic ^{13,15,18}
Africa	In the Congo Basin, peatlands occupy interfluvies to the west of the main Congo River; they occupy river valleys to the east ¹ Peatlands in eastern and southern Africa are associated with papyrus swamps in lake basins and river valleys (for example, in Uganda and Kenya) ^{19,20} and shallow wetlands (dambos) in southern Africa ²¹	Area 200,000 km ² (146,000 km ² in the Congo Basin alone) ^{1,2,24} ; peat thickness averages 2 m, maximum 6 m (in the Congo Basin) ¹	In the Congo Basin, peat initiated on riverine alluvium, with the peat replacing open grass-dominated or forest vegetation ¹ Formation commenced as early as 17,000 calyr BP, but mostly between 10,500 to 9,500 calyr BP (REF. ¹) Peat surface topographies are flat or only slightly domed ²² . These are predominantly ombrotrophic systems, with seasonal inundation attributed to rainfall, rather than riverine flooding ^{1,23} Not all swamps in tropical regions of Africa form peat; there is limited information on their development, extent and carbon storage
Central and South America	In South America, the most extensive lowland peat development has occurred in the Amazon basin, northeastern Peru ^{25–27} In Central America, the most extensive peatlands are in Panama ²⁸	Area between 131,000 km ² and 587,000 km ² (for the latter number, if all wetlands are found to be peat-forming) ²⁶ ; peat thickness in the Peruvian Amazon Basin averages 2–3 m, maximum 7.5 m (REFS ^{25,27}), with maximum thickness in Panama 12 m (REF. ²⁸) and in Mexico 3 m (REF. ²⁹)	In Peruvian Amazonia, peat initiated between 9,000 and 2,000 calyr BP (REF. ²⁹), potentially with dynamic fluvial processes during development ²⁶ . Some peatlands are minerotrophic systems receiving water and nutrient inputs from seasonal river flooding. Others are at a later developmental stage, with elevated, ombrotrophic surfaces isolated from fluvial influence ^{25–27} In Central America (Panama), peat initiated between 4,500 and 3,000 calyr BP, forming ombrotrophic domes behind coastal mangrove forests ²⁸

for plants, aquatic life and humans^{64–66}, with detrimental impacts on crop production. Although soil amendments (lime or fertilizers) can alleviate toxicity for plants and maintain crop yields, the only way to stop pyrite oxidation is to raise the water table and re-establish anaerobic conditions within the sulfide-rich layer⁶⁶.

Carbon cycling

Tropical peatlands combine a vegetation cover capable of high biomass productivity with the capacity to transfer a portion of that biomass into long-term ecosystem C storage within the peat⁶⁷. Carbon enters the system through photosynthesis and leaves it as: CO₂ via plant autotrophic respiration (Ra); CO₂ via heterotrophic respiration by microbial organisms (Rh), primarily under aerobic conditions; methane (CH₄), through anaerobic respiration by methanogenic microorganisms; and as dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and, to a lesser extent, as particulate organic carbon (POC), transported into

drainage channels and rivers. Leaching of dissolved CO₂ to drainage waters provides an alternative pathway for loss of respired C. Carbon and bicarbonate fluxes tend to be negligible in highly acidic peat drainage waters⁶⁸, but these waters can be highly over-saturated with CO₂, which subsequently degasses to the atmosphere⁶⁹.

The ecosystem will function as a C sink if photosynthetic C input via net primary production (NPP; photosynthesis minus Ra) exceeds the sum of gaseous and fluvial C losses, leading to accumulation of C in living biomass, plant litter and peat. If the peat is saturated, Rh proceeds at a reduced rate under anaerobic conditions, resulting in release of CH₄ by methanogenesis. Undecomposed or partially decomposed organic material enters long-term storage in the peat. However, if there is an aerobic layer at the top of the peat column, for example, during periods of reduced rainfall or because of artificial drainage, a larger amount of organic material is exposed to aerobic decomposition, leading to increased loss of

REVIEWS

C as CO₂ to the atmosphere and fluvial C to waterways, and potentially to overall net ecosystem C loss (FIG. 2a).

The magnitude of and balance between different peatland C fluxes are primarily linked to abiotic hydrological constraints on peat microbial communities and their respiration pathways, but also to biotic factors. The woody, lignin-rich nature of much of the plant litter supplied to the peat surface confers resistance to microbial

decay under anaerobic conditions^{70–74}. However, if the peat is oxygenated, easily degraded fractions, such as cellulose, and more recalcitrant fractions, such as lignin, can both decompose rapidly⁷¹. In general, deforestation, drainage and agricultural conversion result in increased aeration, higher peat surface temperatures and increased nutrient inputs (from fertilization), all of which enhance peat decomposition^{47,50,53,59}.




Fig. 2 | Biogeochemical cycling in tropical peatlands. a | Deforestation and reforestation affects peat biogeochemical functions. Pristine or near-pristine peat swamp forest (far left) can have a water table that is near to the surface, with small variations owing to differences in rates of tree transpiration. Peatlands are affected by slash and burn, drainage and deforestation, which all lower the water-table depth. Monoculture or cropland drained sites have low water-table depths for optimal growth of plantation and other crops, but can have large greenhouse gas emissions. Forest can be naturally restored (secondary forest) or restored by rewetting or revegetation or agroforestry, which not only protects the

remaining peat carbon store but also provides a source of plant litter to recommence peat carbon accumulation. The thickness of the arrows indicates the relative magnitude of flux in the different stages of peat swamp forest modification. **b** | Comparison of annual net ecosystem exchange (NEE; Mg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; mean annual land–atmosphere carbon flux) from studies reporting data from tropical peatland flux (eddy covariance) towers^{84–90}. CO, carbon monoxide; DOC, dissolved organic carbon; PM_{2.5}, particulate matter with particles having diameter less than 2.5 µm; PSF, peat swamp forest. Data from^{84–90}. Panel **a** adapted with permission from REF.²²⁰, Springer Nature Limited.

Over the Holocene, tropical peatlands have functioned as net C sinks, with a cooling effect on global climate^{3,75}, despite episodes of reduced C accumulation resulting from changes in hydroclimate. Rates of long-term, average C accumulation since peat initiation are in the range 0.2–0.7 tC ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for inland and 0.6–1.1 tC ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for coastal peatlands in Kalimantan and Sumatra, Indonesia¹⁵. Carbon accumulation rates are similar in Peruvian peatlands (0.3–1.1 tC ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹)⁷⁶. In comparison to lower long-term values for northern peatlands (0.2–0.3 tC ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹)^{77–80}, elevated tropical rates can be attributed to high peat swamp forest NPP combined with low rates of Rh, owing to persistent soil saturation and the relative recalcitrance of lignin-rich litter inputs.

Peatland carbon stocks

Current assessments indicate that Southeast Asian peatlands are the most C dense (average 2,775 tC ha⁻¹)². Values are lower for shallower African and South American peatlands (2,000 tC ha⁻¹ for the Congo Basin¹; 800 tC ha⁻¹ for Peru⁷⁷). Of an estimated global tropical peat C stock of 105 Gt C (range 87–136 Gt C), Southeast Asian peatlands contribute 69 Gt C (range 66–70 Gt C) and African peatlands about 34 Gt C (range 9–52 Gt C)^{1,2}. South and Central American peatlands store about 13 Gt C (range 11–14 Gt C) of the 105 Gt estimate, but potentially store up to 100 Gt C if extensive South American wetlands are proved to be peat-forming^{1,2,4}.

Prior to anthropogenic disturbance, vegetation C density on Southeast Asian peatlands was in the range 27–275 tC ha⁻¹ (REF.⁸¹). For peatlands of the Congo Basin and northeastern Peru, maximal values are 67–124 tC ha⁻¹ and 80–161 tC ha⁻¹, respectively^{1,32,81,82}. Although most C storage occurs belowground, vegetation C plays an essential part in providing the source of organic matter for peat formation and maintaining ecosystem functions to protect the belowground C pool⁸³.

Carbon fluxes

Net ecosystem exchange. Assessments of the contemporary C dynamics of forested tropical peatlands suggest that although some continue to act as C sinks, for others this function has been reduced or lost through some combination of forest disturbance, drainage, climate variability or climate change (FIG. 2b). Data obtained from the eddy covariance technique, which measures the land–atmosphere C flux (net ecosystem exchange (NEE)), have shown a minimally disturbed palm swamp in Peru to be a strong net C sink at the rate of -4.7 ± 1.9 tC ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (REF.⁸⁴). A peat swamp forest in Sarawak, Malaysia, also sequestered C, albeit at a lower rate (-1.4 ± 0.5 tC ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, where a negative value represents a C sink)⁸⁵.

In contrast, forested peatland sites affected in some capacity by logging (including construction of logging tracks and canals) and regional plantation development in Kalimantan⁸⁶, Sarawak⁸⁷ and Sumatra⁸⁸ were net C sources, with NEE of 1.7–4.2 tC ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. These results suggest that the peatland C balance is highly sensitive to even modest levels of disturbance. In the case of the Sumatran study, C loss was

observed during the 2019 ENSO-related dry season⁸⁸. Nevertheless, the scale of C emission was lower than that from a forest affected by drainage and vegetation disturbance within the same landscape and measured over the same period (4.2 ± 2.4 tC ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ as opposed to 10.8 ± 1.1 tC ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹). The scale of increasing C loss along a forest degradation gradient has also been demonstrated in Central Kalimantan. There, emissions increased from 1.7 ± 2.0 tC ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in a lightly drained, selectively logged forest, to 3.2 ± 2.0 tC ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in heavily drained forest and 5.0 ± 0.7 tC ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in a drained, burned forest⁸⁶.

There have been few published studies using the eddy covariance technique in tropical peatland land covers other than peat swamp forest. These studies focus on oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) plantations in Sarawak, Malaysia (FIG. 2b). A mature plantation had emissions of 9.9 ± 1.6 tC ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (annual mean water table -0.64 ± 0.07 m). In contrast, a peat swamp forest in the same region functioned as a net sink over the same study period (-1.4 ± 0.5 tC ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; annual average water table -0.19 ± 0.03 m)⁸⁹. Lower emissions in the range 4.9 ± 0.1 to 6.0 ± 0.1 tC ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ were reported for a mature plantation with an unusually shallow annual average water table (-0.26 ± 0.32 m)⁹⁰. However, a much higher emission of 34.7 ± 0.1 to 46.5 ± 0.03 tC ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (average annual water table -0.54 ± 0.05 m) was measured in a young plantation within a few months of its conversion from forest⁹⁰.

Net C loss from peatland developed for agriculture occurs by reducing C input (lower NPP, biomass removal via harvest, more degradable litter) and increasing C loss via heterotrophic respiration (Rh). Elevated emissions during early stages of plantation development are attributed to high Rh emissions (from the decomposition of both peat and woody debris remaining following deforestation) and low levels of NPP by young palms. While the palm trees are growing, C uptake into biomass will partially offset C loss from the peat. However, this uptake only represents a temporary sink since palm fruits are harvested regularly and the palms themselves are replaced after around 25 years, at which point most biomass C will be remineralized to CO₂ via decomposition⁹¹. Furthermore, a mature plantation contains less C in aboveground biomass than the forest it replaced (typically 40 tC ha⁻¹ (REF.⁹²), compared to 100–275 tC ha⁻¹ for peat swamp forest⁹³).

In drained systems, radiocarbon measurements on DOC indicate that a substantial proportion of the overall C loss is of older (hundreds to thousands of years) peat, implying destabilization of the long-term C store^{94–96}. Microbial population dynamics strongly influence these C losses through heterotrophic respiration, which is influenced by changes in hydrology (water-table depth and soil moisture). Rh is also affected by the quality (decomposability) and quantity of organic material available for decomposition (litter, peat and root exudates), air and peat temperatures, and peat nutrient status^{67,97–100} (BOX 1). NPP is reduced by forest disturbance and conversion but, as with Rh, might also be sensitive to water-table drawdown, which can decrease the photosynthetic capacity of the vegetation⁸⁸.

Peat surface CO₂ flux. In the absence of NEE measurements using the eddy covariance technique, Rh emission rates can enable the comparison of peat surface C effluxes from intact ecosystems with those under other land uses. Rh CO₂ fluxes from undisturbed peat swamp forest typically range between 9.0 and 14.0 t CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. For example, Rh fluxes of 9.5 ± 0.3 t CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and 13.8 ± 1.0 t CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ have been reported from Kalimantan, Indonesia^{99,101}, with similar values of 8.9 ± 4.8 and 9.9 ± 1.75 t CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ from Sarawak, Malaysia^{102,103}. These values compare to Rh emissions from historically logged forest of 5.1 ± 1.7 t CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ from North Selangor, Malaysia¹⁰⁴. A lack of studies of Rh CO₂ fluxes from peatlands in the Congo Basin and South America limit comparisons. However, a study from an undisturbed forested peatland in Panama¹⁰⁵ measured a flux

of 4.0 ± 1.2 t CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, indicating that fluxes from ombrotrophic peatlands in Central America are likely to be of the same order of magnitude.

Drainage of peat swamps results in increased aerobic microbial activity, which is associated with higher decomposition of organic matter and accompanying increased emissions of CO₂ from the peat surface¹⁰⁶. This increase is further amplified by a higher intensity of land use, for example, from diverse cropping systems to intensively managed monoculture plantations^{107,108}, with higher Rh fluxes in plantations¹⁰⁹. Rh CO₂ fluxes reported for agricultural peatlands in Southeast Asia are generally within the range 14–20 t CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (REF.¹¹⁰). There is a robust correlation between long-term water-table depth and Rh emissions^{110–114}, as well as time since conversion¹⁰⁴. For an annual average water-table depth of –0.70 m (representative of drainage depths in many agricultural peatlands), an Rh value of 17 ± 3 t CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, based on a modelled relationship between water table and C loss, has been proposed¹¹⁰. This value is comparable to IPCC Tier 1 emission factors of 11 (5.6–17) and 20 (16–24) t CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for plantations of oil palm and *Acacia* (the main species grown for pulpwood), respectively¹¹⁵.

Modelled relationships between water table and C loss for plantations¹¹⁰ indicate that the Rh flux rate increases by 0.25 t CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ with every centimetre of increase in water-table depth. A similar study¹¹³ based on eddy covariance data suggests a net CO₂ emission of around 10 t CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for a mean annual water-table depth of –0.70 m and an increase of 0.16 t CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for each centimetre of increase in water-table depth. The increased peat surface temperature of deforested peatland and the application of fertilizers also influence the rate of Rh emissions^{116,117}. At an agricultural peatland in Kalimantan, shading resulted in 33% and 66% lower CO₂ emissions from unfertilized and fertilized plots, respectively; under unshaded conditions, fertilizer application increased emissions by 58%¹¹⁶.

Methane flux. Diffusive fluxes of CH₄ from the surface of tropical peatlands in Southeast Asia are lower than CO₂ fluxes but are still important given the high global warming potential of CH₄ (REF.¹¹). Fluxes are highly variable within tropical peatlands⁸³. For example, in Indonesia (Kalimantan and Sumatra), diffusive fluxes from peat surfaces in undisturbed forest range between 10 ± 4 and 68 ± 7 kg CH₄-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (REFS^{99,118}), with fluxes of similar magnitude (43 ± 40 to 85 ± 5 kg CH₄-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) measured in Sarawak, Malaysia^{102–119}. Greater CH₄ effluxes have been reported from Terengganu, Malaysia, with 151 ± 100 kg CH₄-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ measured in undisturbed forest¹⁰⁶ and 328 ± 230 kg CH₄-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in logged forest in North Selangor, Malaysia, albeit during the wet season when a high water level resulted in a greater peat surface CH₄ flux¹⁰⁴. As well as peat surface emissions, the stems of some tree species can provide a flux pathway for CH₄ (REFS^{120,121}). A short study in a Central Kalimantan peat swamp forest during the wet season measured tree stem fluxes that were estimated to be responsible for 62–87% of total ecosystem methane flux¹²¹.

CH₄ fluxes are generally higher but more variable among vegetation types in South and Central America.

Box 1 | Tropical peatland microbiota

Bacteria and Archaea

In pristine conditions, the Bacteria and Archaea communities are dominated by taxa characteristic of acidic, waterlogged conditions, with highest diversity in the aerobic zone near the peat surface^{166,234}. Diversity decreases with depth, owing to anoxia and a lack of plant litter carbon (C) inputs¹⁷³. Increasing water saturation with depth increases the abundance of anaerobic methanogenic and methanotrophic bacteria^{235,236}. Microbial composition varies among vegetation communities and peat with contrasting nutrient status, indicating a close association between above- and belowground ecosystem functions^{235,237}. In Southeast Asia, peat swamp forests support comparable, albeit distinct, levels of bacterial diversity to other types of lowland forest²³⁸.

Fungi

Fungi are most abundant in the upper aerobic peat layer, with a dominance of saprotrophic species involved in plant litter decomposition^{234,239}. Fungal biomass decreases with increasing peat depth, owing to increasing anoxia and recalcitrant decomposition product accumulation. Several fungal groups, including those involved in lignin and cellulose breakdown, show changes in diversity and richness upon peatland conversion to agriculture, with an increase in abundance at sites with low water tables. This change contributes to increased peat surface CO₂ emissions^{240–242}. Pathotrophic fungi increase also in abundance, suggesting that conversion increases the likelihood of crop diseases²⁴³. Many dominant tree species of intact peatlands in Southeast Asia have both ectomycorrhizal^{243–245} and arbuscular mycorrhizal^{246,247} fungi that play a key part in the acquisition of plant nutrients.

Impact of hydrology

Water-table position has a strong control on the oxic–anoxic peat layer boundary, which affects the microbial decomposition of peat substrates. The onset of drier conditions upon water-table drawdown results in an increase in aerobic bacteria and phenol oxidase activity, causing enhanced breakdown of organic matter and release of CO₂ (REF.²⁴⁸). This breakdown can be stimulated further by subsequent rewetting. Fluctuations at low water-table sites affect the bacterial community structure through oxygen and nutrient availability and select bacteria that can withstand drying–wetting cycles¹⁰⁸. Drying–wetting cycles also probably stimulate microbial activity and the continued decomposition of organic C (REF.¹⁰⁹). Bacteria involved in CH₄ production and consumption are also affected by water-table drawdown and move lower down the peat column.

Role in ecosystem management

Improved knowledge of the microbial ecology of tropical peatlands has the potential to contribute to conservation and management, as deforestation and agricultural land conversion reduce microbial diversity. In Southeast Asia, intact peat swamp forests support higher microbial diversity when compared with drained agricultural peatlands, particularly those under monoculture plantations^{107,108}. Peatlands under mixed cropping have higher diversity than monocultures¹⁰⁸. A more diverse microbiota can reduce peat oxidation, C loss and subsidence. Encouraging a diverse mix of tree species in peat swamp forest restoration¹⁰⁹ could contribute to reduced flux of CO₂ from the peat surface. Inoculation with mycorrhizal fungi^{245,247,249} can stimulate tree growth and establishment on degraded peatland and increase the effectiveness of peatland restoration strategies²⁵⁰.

Emissions of $226 \pm 51 \text{ kg CH}_4\text{-C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ are reported from intact palm swamp in Peru, with a decline in emissions to $161 \pm 66 \text{ kg CH}_4\text{-C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ at sites affected by palm fruit and timber harvesting, but with high variability between years¹²². From the same region, evidence of variability both seasonally and among forest types is reported, with fluxes in the range 109 ± 37 to $190 \pm 58 \text{ kg CH}_4\text{-C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (REF.¹²³). Emissions from palm and mixed forests in Panama are higher at 262 ± 39 and $210 \pm 39 \text{ kg CH}_4\text{-C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (REF.¹²⁴), respectively. As in Southeast Asian peatlands, the stems of some tree species can provide a flux pathway for CH_4 , with high emissions from *Mauritia flexuosa*¹²⁵. Elevated peat surface CH_4 emissions from some sites are probably linked to high temperatures¹²⁶ and large inputs of labile C, for example, as root exudates^{127,128} from productive vegetation⁸³. Lower emissions might be connected to either high rates of CH_4 oxidation in the peat surface layers and in the rhizosphere linked to root oxygen release, which can convert 80–90% of the CH_4 produced in the peat profile^{71,124,129}; or to lower substrate quality for methanogenesis if the peat has undergone considerable decay^{127,130,131}.

Peatland drainage and agricultural conversion result in reduced peat surface CH_4 emissions¹²⁷. Annual fluxes from drained and plantation areas in Southeast Asia are between 1 and $4 \text{ kg CH}_4\text{-C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (REFS^{118,132}), and with the potential for the peat surface to act as a small CH_4 sink during periods of water-table drawdown^{102,118}. However, the release of water rich in DOC can result in increased CO_2 and CH_4 emissions from drainage canals, rivers and coastal areas (FIG. 2a). For example, CH_4 emissions from drainage ditches have been suggested to negate approximately 10% of the reduction in emissions of CH_4 effluxes from drained peatlands¹³³, while canal and river water with high DOC and POC content is linked to elevated CH_4 fluxes from coastal lagoons¹³⁴. Annual fluxes of $35 \pm 39 \text{ kg CH}_4\text{-C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ were measured from an *Acacia* plantation in Sumatra by eddy covariance. These fluxes were around half of the emissions from nearby forest, a disparity that was attributed to emissions from the canal network¹¹⁸. Similarly, a flux of $61 \pm 18 \text{ kg CH}_4\text{-C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ was reported for an oil palm plantation in Sarawak, with canals the dominant source¹¹⁷. These observations are consistent with the IPCC Tier 1 emission factor for ditch and canal emissions from tropical peat plantations of 1694 ($449\text{--}2,939$) $\text{kg CH}_4\text{-C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (expressed per hectare of water surface¹¹⁵ rather than total plantation surface area), based on a small number of direct measurements¹³⁵.

Fluvial carbon. DOC comprises the largest component of fluvial C loss from tropical peatlands, most of which is exported to the sea. This loss is estimated to be around $0.6 \text{ t C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ from intact forested peatlands, rising to $0.9 \text{ t C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ from drained areas^{94,115,136}. The IPCC methodology assumes that 90% of DOC export is ultimately mineralized to CO_2 . Research in the Malacca Strait supports this assumption, suggesting that 80–95% of organic matter exported from coastal peatlands of Sumatra is mineralized and degassed from the Sunda Shelf before reaching the open ocean¹³⁷. Although a

component of the land–ocean DOC flux, and subsequent CO_2 efflux, is natural, additional export following drainage contributes indirectly to CO_2 emissions from drained tropical peatlands. The large amount of DOC discharged to the ocean^{94,138,139} can also result in anoxia, because decomposition processes result in depletion of oxygen from the water column and seafloor sediments¹⁴⁰ and in changes to the coastal water pH¹³⁷, with impacts for marine life, including coral formation.

Future vulnerability. By 2015, agricultural conversion of Southeast Asian peatlands had resulted in a shift away from long-term C sequestration to an estimated emission from peat oxidation alone of $535 \text{ Mt CO}_2 \text{ yr}^{-1}$, equivalent to approximately 1.5% of global annual emissions from fossil fuels and industry¹⁴¹. The estimated total emission from peat oxidation between 1990 and 2015 was $9,200 \text{ Mt CO}_2$ (REF.¹⁴¹). Furthermore, the legacy of historical peatland clearances that occurred before 2010 is predicted to contribute a further $4,400\text{--}11,500 \text{ Mt CO}_2$ emissions over the period 2010–2130 (REF.³⁶). An expert assessment of the future vulnerability of all tropical peatlands has indicated that around 20% of current C stocks may be lost by 2100 through a combination of higher temperatures, negative moisture balance, fires and sea level rise¹⁴².

CO_2 fertilization as a result of rising levels of atmospheric CO_2 , as well as regional deposition of N and other nutrients, could increase forest NPP^{143,144}, potentially enhancing peat C sequestration rates through enhanced C influx. However, changes in precipitation could negate these effects^{145,146}. Key drivers affecting the soil processes that cause CO_2 and CH_4 emissions are moisture-related, including the position of the water table, suggesting that future changes in hydroclimate could substantially affect the capacity of intact peatlands to sequester C^{86,88}, as well as altering emissions regardless of land cover¹²².

The projected intensification of wet and dry seasons in Southeast Asia¹⁴⁷ and forecasts for the northwestern Amazon of warmer temperatures and increased precipitation^{148,149} are likely to affect peatland C cycling in these areas¹⁵⁰. There is potential for El Niño events to intensify in future decades¹⁵¹, resulting in extended droughts across Southeast Asia and the Congo Basin¹⁵². Regardless, ongoing climatic warming means that the impact of each new drought event will become more severe¹⁵². Given that peat accumulation rates are more sensitive to rainfall during the dry season than the wet season¹⁴, there are escalating risks of reduced peat accumulation rates. There are also indications that increased temperatures could increase emissions of CO_2 and CH_4 , again regardless of land cover^{116,124,126,130,153}. Although tropical peatlands have shown resilience through past episodes of hydroclimatic variability, the magnitude of current and future anthropogenic disturbances could exceed their adaptive capacity¹⁵⁴, and it is clear that land-use changes will increase their vulnerability to climate extremes⁸⁸. Peatlands in the Amazon and Congo basins will become progressively more vulnerable to a combination of climate and land-use pressures if their accessibility and susceptibility to deforestation and other disturbances increase⁴⁴.

Nutrient cycling

Tropical peatlands are predominantly ombrotrophic systems; they are inherently acidic (pH 3.0–4.5) and have low nutrient availability^{2,155}. Minerotrophic systems, which include some peatlands in Peruvian Amazonia, exhibit greater diversity, ranging from strongly to mildly acidic (pH 3.8–6.1) and with nutrient levels reflecting the degree of influence by river flood regimes^{156,157}. Typical peat surface nutrient concentrations for forested peatlands in Southeast Asia are in the range 100–2,400 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ for Ca, and 300–700 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ for Mg (REFS^{155,158,159}). In comparison, Ca and Mg concentrations in surface peats of minerotrophic Amazonian peatlands can exceed 10,000 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ and 2,700 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$, respectively¹⁵⁶.

Nutrient availability

Nutrient availability in ombrotrophic peatlands depends on wet and dry inputs in rainfall, dusts and marine aerosols from outside the system¹⁵⁵, whereas microbial decomposition of organic materials within the system contributes to nutrient stocks^{159–161}. Nitrogen-fixing trees can also serve as a source of N (REFS^{162–165}), although N fixation is likely to be inefficient under low-pH conditions. Surface peat N contents are typically in the range 1–2% for ombrotrophic peatlands^{155,158,159} and 2–2.5% for minerotrophic peatlands¹⁵⁶. Forested peatlands in Malaysia^{164,166}, Indonesia^{155,158,165,167} and Panama¹⁶⁸ have the highest plant nutrient concentrations (N, P, Ca, Mg, K) in the upper peat profile. Concentrations are 50 or 100 times higher to a depth of 200 cm because of biological accumulation in plant roots and litter. This bioaccumulation layer is deep compared to northern peatlands, where only the upper 40 cm shows nutrient enrichment^{169–171}, and is attributable to the greater rooting depth of tree-dominated vegetation. Below the bioaccumulation zone there is a rapid decrease in nutrient concentrations into the deeper peat^{155,158}.

In intact peat swamps, nutrients are strongly retained within near-surface organic matter as they are bound in relatively recalcitrant forms following initial litter decay¹⁷², and because microbial nutrient mineralization is slowed by anoxia⁷¹. The decrease in nutrient availability with vertical depth, together with shifts to anaerobic conditions and lower substrate quality, results in strong depthwise declines in the capacity of the microbial community to mineralize and recycle nutrients^{166,173}. Microtopography also influences nutrient availability: litter and surface peat from hummocks (which support most tree growth) have higher nutrient concentrations than hollows¹⁵⁸, stressing the importance of efficient recycling between the peat and the vegetation.

N₂O

The availability of inorganic N for plant uptake is limited in undrained peatlands owing to slow microbial N mineralization and low nitrification but high denitrification activities under acidic, anaerobic conditions (FIG. 2a). These conditions limit emissions of the greenhouse gas nitrous oxide (N₂O) from peat surface¹⁷⁴. Drainage promotes mineralization of organic N to ammonium (NH₄⁺), which can be nitrified to nitrate (NO₃⁻)^{175,176}, while also producing partially oxidized conditions

conducive to production of N₂O (REFS^{177,178}). With a few exceptions¹⁰⁴, N₂O emissions from intact peatlands tend to be low, with some variation reflecting the sensitivity of N₂O formation to substrate supply (addition of plant litter) and moisture levels. At two peatlands in Panama, the average cumulative N₂O emissions were 1.0 ± 0.3 and 11.5 ± 0.7 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in hardwood and palm swamp forests, respectively¹²⁴. Comparable low emissions have been reported from intact peatlands in 14 u at 1.3 ± 0.6 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (REF¹²³), and at 0.6 ± 0.1 and 0.4 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in Central Kalimantan and Riau, Indonesia, respectively^{88,179}.

Land-use change impacts on nutrients

Conversion of peat swamp forest to other land covers involves loss of the nutrient stock within the forest biomass, reduced plant litter inputs and longer-term changes in nutrient availability and cycling. Following drainage, the increased rate of organic matter decomposition results in nutrient release, providing a source of nutrients such as P and K for crops and tree stands⁴⁹. Initial increases in availability can, however, be followed by longer-term decline in nutrient capital as a result of leaching and nutrient export into water courses¹⁸⁰. Agricultural practices typically involve inputs of soil ameliorants such as lime to raise the pH along with manure¹⁸¹ and/or inorganic (NPK) fertilizers to provide additional nutrients, or the planting of N-fixing trees such as *Acacia* spp. Without fertilization, macro-nutrients rapidly become limiting, particularly where there is a high crop off-take, for example of fresh fruit bunches from oil palm plantations^{182,183} or whole trees in short-rotation pulp and paper plantations⁴⁹.

Fertilizer use can result in nutrient runoff¹⁸⁴, eutrophication of waterways¹⁴⁰ and enhanced emissions of N₂O from the peat surface¹⁷⁹. N₂O emissions increase following fertilization, but temporal variability is high and influenced both by agricultural practices and fertilizer application rates¹⁸⁵. For example, conversion of palm swamp forest to rice agriculture (with high water tables but no fertilizer addition) in Panama led to only a modest increase in N₂O fluxes from 3.3 ± 0.4 to 5.0 ± 0.8 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, respectively¹⁸⁶. However, fertilized sites can have N₂O emissions more than 20 times higher than unfertilized sites, with 178 ± 218 and 8 ± 16 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, respectively^{132,187}. In addition, peat surfaces receiving inputs of fresh organic matter, such as oil palm fronds, emit more N₂O (REF¹⁸⁸).

In summary, clearing of peat forest and its conversion to agriculture leads to nutrient cycling shifts from a system in which nutrients are conserved to a system with greater rates of nutrient turnover and net losses, including enhanced emissions of N₂O.

Fire impacts on biogeochemistry

Peatland fires comprise flaming fires that consume aboveground fuels, and lower-temperature ground fires that burn down into the peat and persist for weeks or months until extinguished by rising water tables at the start of the wet season¹⁸⁹. Low oxygen availability for sub-surface fires gives rise to smouldering combustion, which produces high emissions of small

particulates ($PM_{2.5}$)¹⁹⁰, and a range of volatile organic compounds^{191–193} and gases (CO , CH_4 and CO_2). Tropical peat fires emit higher amounts of gases per unit mass of dry fuel burned than fires on northern peatlands, possibly owing to a higher peat fuel C content¹⁸⁰. They are also a source of metal emissions and there is evidence that tropical peat fires contribute to atmospheric loadings of heavy metals (Cd, Co, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb, Ti and Zn) at concentrations that can be carcinogenic and exceed WHO air quality guideline values^{194–197} (FIG. 3).

The closed vegetation canopy and high peat moisture content in an intact peatland ensure a low risk of ignition¹⁹⁸ with little likelihood of fires burning into the saturated peat. Since the 1980s, however, peat fires in Indonesia have escalated in extent and frequency¹⁹⁹ — only 3% of peat swamp forests in Sumatra and Kalimantan are now considered to be fire-resistant¹⁹⁸. This step change can be attributed to forest disturbance and drainage, which increase the availability of dry, combustible fuels, and to growing levels of human access and land-use activities on peatlands, which provide fire ignition sources. Fire severity and duration intensify during periods of prolonged drought associated with ENSO and Indian Ocean Dipole weather events^{200–204}. In 1997, ENSO-related fires released between 810 and 2,570 Mt C from Indonesian peatlands alone, with the most severe fires located in disturbed peat landscapes²⁰⁵. Since then, fires have become a near-annual event, but with extent and severity increasing with dry-season intensity and length^{206,207}.

Fire-driven modifications of peat substrates include changes in physical properties, such as increased bulk density^{180,208}, and peat chemistry changes, such as

preferential removal and alteration of organic components (FIG. 3). Burned peat has an increased content of resistant organic compounds (aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons), contrasting with unburned samples, which have a greater diversity of labile constituents^{180,209}. Fire also modifies peat pH and the availability of plant nutrient elements, particularly in the immediate aftermath of fire and prior to vegetation recovery. Surface peat at recently burned sites in Southeast Asia had an increase in pH and, owing to N-volatilization during combustion, a lower N content^{209,210}. A study in Selangor, Malaysia²¹¹, reported an immediate post-fire increase in surface peat pH (approximately from pH 4 to 6) and increases in macro-nutrients (excluding N) and C/N ratios. This effect can, however, be transitory. For example, there was a post-fire shift in porewater pH (pH 3.0, unburned site; pH 3.5, burned site) in Brunei²⁰⁸ but no change in peat C/N ratios some 14 months after the fire. This observation was attributed to rapid regrowth of secondary vegetation and the addition of fresh litter, and therefore N, to the peat surface.

Post-fire flooding can occur, which is attributable to peat combustion. Combustion brings the peat surface closer to the wet-season water table, lowers transpiration rates due to loss of vegetation and reduces peat water storage capacity due to increased bulk density^{180,208,212}. For example, a high water table at a post-fire site in Brunei resulted in near-doubling of peat surface CH_4 emissions compared to intact peat swamp forest (7.8 ± 2.2 versus 4.0 ± 2.0 mg CH_4 m⁻² per hour, respectively)²⁰⁸. Post-fire increases in CH_4 and CO_2 emissions were also noted in the immediate aftermath of a fire in Selangor, Malaysia²¹¹. After 5 months, CO_2





Fig. 3 | Environmental and biogeochemical impacts of fires on tropical peatlands. Tropical peatland fires have drastic effects on both aboveground and belowground processes, affecting biodiversity, forest ecosystem services, human health and livelihoods, regional and global climate along with regional economies. CH_4 and CO_2 refers to methane and carbon dioxide emissions, respectively. $PM_{2.5}$, particulate matter with particles having diameter less than 2.5 μm .



emissions returned to pre-fire levels, but CH₄ emissions remained high. Fire-disturbed peatlands can also be a substantial source of CH₄ owing to a change in plant cover. On burned peatland in Brunei, secondary vegetation dominated by sedges (*Scleria* spp.) provided a

plant-mediated flux pathway for 159 ± 96 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹ per year, comprising 70–80% of total ecosystem CH₄ flux²¹³. A positive relationship between increased peat bulk density and fire-produced CH₄ emissions has also been identified¹⁹², probably because increased bulk

◀ Fig. 4 | **Trade-offs between WTD, greenhouse gas emissions and nutrient supply for tropical peatlands.** **a** | Relationship of water-table depth (WTD) with net climate impact of combined CO_2 and CH_4 (expressed as tonnes of equivalent CO_2 per hectare per year, based on the 100-year time horizon global warming potential (GWP_{100}) relative to CO_2) across different peatland land uses in Southeast Asia. Horizontal arrows show an indicative range of water tables occurring within each land class. Negative signs indicate a carbon sink, while positive signs indicate a carbon source. Data derived from REF.¹¹³. WTD exerts an overriding influence on greenhouse gas fluxes from tropical peatlands. Drained peatlands are a strong emissions source, regardless of land use. **b** | Modelled scenario assessment to illustrate trade-offs of a pulpwood plantation between crop yield and peat carbon balance with macronutrient addition (phosphorus (P)) in P-deficient peat and with varying depth of water table. Values are per hectare for a full crop rotation (typically 5 years). The business-as-usual scenario has a lower water table and initial addition of inorganic nutrients during planting, but with no compensatory fertilization. Raised water levels reduce carbon loss and greenhouse gas emissions, and illustrate the trade-offs with crop yield, without and with compensatory fertilization, respectively. The model output values for peat carbon balance and yield are computed based on the plantation simulator data presented in REF.⁴⁹.

density maintains a slower fire spread rate, thereby limiting oxygen availability at the fire front²¹⁴.

Forest recovery following a fire can be slow²¹⁵ owing to lower availability of nutrients and labile C, both of which also reduce the diversity and size of microbial communities²¹⁶. In Central Kalimantan, post-fire vegetation is limited to a few pioneer tree species and ferns²¹⁷. Compared with unburned sites, the abundance of bacteria is reduced by 99% at the peat surface and by 63% in subsurface peat (30–50 cm), with little change over a 3-year post-fire period²¹⁸. In mineral soils²¹⁹, there are post-fire reductions in mycorrhizal communities, which are important for N and P acquisition by vegetation. This reduction could hinder regeneration in tropical peatlands, as 28-y peat swamp trees form mycorrhizal associations. Short- and longer-term effects of fire on the diversity and activity of most peat biota have not been established, but as with tropical mineral soils, fire probably has a negative impact²²⁰.

Management opportunities and challenges

Tropical peatlands contain the largest amount of irrecoverable C of global ecosystems; specifically, C that is vulnerable to release from land-use change but irrecoverable on timescales relevant to mitigate climate impacts⁸. Avoiding further loss or degradation of intact tropical peatlands is, therefore, a relatively low-cost pathway for protecting their C stocks and achieving climate goals. The vulnerabilities of tropical peatlands to increased C loss and greenhouse gas emissions are often directly linked to land management, with substantial opportunities for reducing emissions by altering practices. Therefore, the highest management priority should be the enhanced protection of intact peat swamp forests, as many continue to function as net C sinks. This level of safeguarding is critical for forested peatlands in Southeast Asia, where the C sink function is increasingly susceptible to land-use change impacts, fire and climatic variability¹⁴², mirroring similar vulnerabilities observed for the region's mineral soil forests²²¹.

For peat swamp forests affected by logging, drainage and fire, measures need to be developed and implemented, at scale, to enhance and restore hydrological and ecological integrity^{109,222}. The potential for reduced

greenhouse gas emissions will depend upon the degree of prior ecosystem modification and the effectiveness of rehabilitation strategies, especially where actions to raise water levels conflict with livelihood aspirations^{217,223} (FIG. 4a). The role of peat biogeochemistry and microbiology in successful strategies for ecosystem restoration are areas requiring further investigation¹⁰⁹. There is also a clear need for robust and ethical mechanisms to finance activities that reduce emissions²²⁴, with successful interventions likely to be those co-produced with local people.

For agricultural peatlands where ecosystem rehabilitation to pre-drainage conditions is not socially or economically feasible, options need to be identified to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, fire risk and peat subsidence. Where technically feasible and socially acceptable, raising water levels closer to the peat surface could reduce CO_2 emissions while maintaining agricultural production and livelihoods^{53,113} (FIG. 4a). This approach is not without its challenges; it will require improved water control and conservation measures to avoid wet-season flooding and dry-season drawdown. There is also a trade-off between reduced emissions and reduced nutrient availability from peat decomposition, which can require additional inputs of macronutrients to maintain crop production (FIG. 4b). Control of N fertilization to optimize yield while reducing N_2O emissions will require particular consideration. It is not inevitable that mitigation measures will lead to reductions in economic productivity, but appropriate financial compensation is clearly needed in situations where local populations incur a loss to deliver the wider societal benefit of climate change mitigation.

A further option for agricultural peatlands is to develop economically competitive crop species with low fertilization requirements suitable for sites where it is socially acceptable and technically feasible to maintain the highest (near-surface) water levels. Whilst greatly limiting CO_2 and N_2O emissions (FIG. 4a), this approach — often referred to as swamp cultivation or paludiculture^{60,225–227} — excludes dryland crop cultivation and thus remains among the future management challenges for tropical peatlands⁵⁸. There is an increasing body of knowledge on suitable native species that could be grown to provide an economic return, but there remain uncertainties regarding their performance, as well as markets for products²²⁷. There are also knowledge gaps regarding the extent to which swamp cultivation can mitigate peat C loss, although initial research in Europe indicates that a near-neutral site C balance can be achieved²²⁸.

Summary and future perspectives

Tropical peatlands are globally important C stores. Genuinely intact systems continue to function as a net C sink with a cooling effect on global climate. However, around 60% of tropical peatlands have been subject to anthropogenic alterations¹⁰, specifically deforestation, drainage, fire and agriculture. These changes have transformed tropical peatlands that were previously a long term C store into a major source of greenhouse gas emissions. In our judgement, no other terrestrial ecosystem, and certainly none with such high and vulnerable

C stocks, has undergone such dramatic degradation and loss over a comparably short period. Additional consequences of anthropogenic transformations include shifts in nutrient cycling, including enhanced N_2O emissions; extensive wildfires, particularly on Southeast Asian peatlands, with accompanying episodes of fire-driven air pollution; and increased flood risk resulting from peat subsidence. In recognition of these adverse consequences, various initiatives are emerging to safeguard or rehabilitate the key functions of tropical peatlands. These initiatives mostly focus on protecting ecosystem C storage and mitigating climate change. For degraded peatlands, re-establishing peat formation through restoration of hydrology and vegetation cover could lead to CO_2 uptake rates as high as the natural baseline, or potentially even higher as biomass and litter C pools rebuild, thereby contributing to reducing net emissions.

Compared to mid- and high-latitude peatlands, there remain substantial knowledge gaps and uncertainties in tropical peatland science. These areas are priorities for further research that will not only enhance our understanding of biogeochemical processes but will also support more effective strategies for ecosystem management and restoration. Despite their contemporary importance in global climate regulation, basic information on the C stocks of tropical peatlands is deficient, even for the better studied Southeast Asian peatlands. The uncertainties associated with estimates of peatland C density derive mostly from limited data on peat thickness, which can generally only be obtained from ground-based surveys²²⁹. Continued field investigations are central to improving knowledge of peatland extent and thickness, with satellite data sets and airborne technologies (such as light detection and ranging (LIDAR) and electromagnetic) playing an increasing part in supplementing and extending field-derived information^{22,230–233}. Given the ongoing reduction of peatland C stocks as a result of land-use change and fire, particularly in Southeast Asia¹⁴¹, combined field and remote-sensing surveys are also necessary to monitor C stock changes over time.

The biogeochemical consequences of drainage and agricultural conversion of tropical peatlands are well established, particularly in terms of enhanced rates of C loss. Given the scale of contemporary and potential future greenhouse gas emissions from these ecosystems, their current omission from Earth system models¹⁴² could

result in under-accounting of peatland-mediated climate feedbacks and their contribution to radiative forcing. Incorporating tropical peatlands into process-based modelling will, however, require improved and accurate information, not only about C stocks but also about C fluxes at improved spatial and temporal scales. Studies using the eddy covariance technique are beginning to provide longer-term data sets that enable investigation of ecosystem responses to changes in climate and land management and their relative controls on C dynamics. These data are only currently available from a few peatlands and across limited land covers in Southeast Asia. If extended to other land covers and to peatlands in South America and Central Africa, an eddy covariance flux tower network could become increasingly essential to providing an improved understanding of the resilience or vulnerability of tropical peatland C stocks to changing environmental conditions and the key controls on greenhouse gas exchange rates.

To date, drainage and agricultural conversion of tropical peatlands has been most extensive in Southeast Asia. Mitigating greenhouse gas emissions in these landscapes, while also limiting subsidence, will require raised water tables, reduced fertilization and an increase in mixed as opposed to monoculture cropping (FIG. 4b). There are, however, key knowledge gaps around the biogeochemical and microbiological implications of land management. Improved process-level understanding could underpin strategies to reduce C loss from drained peatlands¹⁰⁹. The development of swamp cultivation methods could become increasingly relevant for Southeast Asian peatlands as subsidence increases the duration of flooding, thereby requiring alternative economic land uses under high water levels³⁷.

Filling the knowledge gaps on tropical peatland biogeochemistry will build an improved evidence base on the vital contribution that responsible management of these C-dense ecosystems can make to reducing greenhouse gas emissions and to maintaining or restoring C sequestration and storage. Tropical peatlands can undoubtedly make an important contribution to nature-based climate solutions, but these efforts will only be effective if they are underpinned by a sound understanding of peatland biogeochemistry.

Published online: 17 May 2022

1. Dargie, G. C. et al. Age, extent and carbon storage of the central Congo Basin peatland complex. *Nature* **542**, 86–90 (2017). **5** This study describes the large extent and huge carbon stocks of the Congo Basin peatlands.
2. Page, S. E., Rieley, J. O. & Banks, C. J. Global and regional importance of the tropical peatland carbon pool. *Glob. Change Biol.* **17**, 798–818 (2011). **7** This is a comprehensive assessment of the extent, volume and carbon stocks of peatlands across the tropics, highlighting their importance in the global carbon cycle and key uncertainties.
3. Z., Loisel, J., Brosseau, D. P., Beilman, D. W. & Hunt, S. J. Global peatland dynamics since the Last glacial Maximum. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **37**, L13402 (2010). **11**
4. Gumbrecht, T. et al. An expert system model for mapping tropical wetlands and peatlands reveals South America as the largest contributor. *Glob. Change Biol.* **23**, 3581–3599 (2017).
5. Olsson, L. et al. Climate change and land (eds Shukla, P. R. et al.) 345–436 (IPCC, 2019).
6. Leifeld, J. & Menichetti, L. The underappreciated potential of peatlands in global climate change mitigation strategies. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 1071 (2018). **10**
7. Smith, P. et al. Climate change 2014: mitigation of climate change. Contribution of Working Group III to the fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (eds Edenhofer, O. et al.) 811–922 (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2014). **21**
8. Goldstein, A. et al. Protecting irrecoverable carbon in Earth's ecosystems. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **10**, 287–295 (2020). **21** This study evaluates ecosystem carbon on the basis of the size of carbon stocks that are irrecoverable to release upon land-use conversion and not recoverable on timescales relevant to avoiding dangerous climate impacts; it emphasizes the high density of irrecoverable carbon in tropical peatlands.
9. Loomis, B. W. et al. Natural climate solutions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **114**, 11645–11650 (2017).
10. Leifeld, J., Wüst-Galley, C. & Page, S. Intact and managed peatland soils as a source and sink of GHGs from 1850 to 2100. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **9**, 945–947 (2019).
11. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Climate change and land (IPCC, 2019).
12. Pan, Y. et al. A large and persistent carbon sink in the world's forests. *Science* **333**, 988–993 (2011).
13. Page, S., Wüst, R. & Banks, C. Past and present carbon accumulation and loss in Southeast Asian peatlands. *PAGES News* **18**, 25–27 (2010).
14. Page, S. E. et al. A record of Late Pleistocene and Holocene carbon accumulation and climate change from an equatorial peat bog (Kalimantan, Indonesia): implications for past, present and future carbon dynamics. *J. Quat. Sci.* **19**, 625–635 (2004).
15. Dommain, R., Couwenberg, J. & Joosten, H. Development and carbon sequestration of tropical peat domes in south-east Asia: links to post-glacial sea-level changes and Holocene climate variability. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **30**, 999–1010 (2011). **21** This is a comprehensive assessment of peatland development in Southeast Asia, exploring regional differences in rates of peat formation and carbon accumulation.

16. Ruwaimana, M., Anshari, G. Z., Silva, L. C. R. & Gavin, D. G. The oldest extant tropical peatland in the world: a major carbon reservoir for at least 47,000 years. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **15**, 114027 (2020). **This study compares the development of coastal and inland peatlands in West Kalimantan, Indonesia, and provides a description of the oldest known peat deposit in Southeast Asia.**
17. Anshari, G., Kershaw, A. P., Kaars, S. V. D. & Jacobsen, G. Environmental change and peatland forest dynamics in the Lake Sentarum area, West Kalimantan, Indonesia. *J. Quat. Sci.* **19**, 637–655 (2004).
18. Dommain, R., Couwenberg, J. & Joosten, H. Hydrological self-regulation of domed peatlands in south-east Asia and consequences for conservation and restoration *Mires Peat* **6**, 1–17 (2010).
19. Jones, M. B. & Muthuri, F. M. Standing biomass and carbon distribution in a papyrus (*Cyperus papyrus* L.) swamp on Lake Naivasha, Kenya. *J. Trop. Ecol.* **13**, 347–356 (1997).
20. Saunders, M. J., Jones, M. B. & Kansime, F. Carbon and water cycles in tropical papyrus wetlands. *Wetl. Ecol. Manag.* **15**, 489–498 (2007).
21. Burrough, S. L., Thomas, D. S. G., Orijemie, E. A. & Willis, K. J. Landscape sensitivity and ecological change in western Zambia: the long-term perspective from dambo cut-and-fill sediments. *J. Quat. Sci.* **30**, 44–58 (2015).
22. Davenport, I. J. et al. First evidence of peat domes in the Congo Basin using LIDAR from a fixed-wing drone. *Remote Sens.* **12**, 2196 (2020).
23. Alsford, D. et al. Opportunities for hydrologic research in the Congo Basin. *Rev. Geophys.* **54**, 378–409 (2016).
24. Biddulph, G. E. et al. Current knowledge on the Cuvette Centrale peatland complex and future research directions. *Bois For. Trop.* **350**, 3–14 (2021).
25. Låhteenoja, O. et al. The large Amazonian peatland carbon sink in the subsiding Pastaza–Marañón foreland basin, Peru. *Glob. Change Biol.* **18**, 164–178 (2012).
26. Kelly, T. J. et al. The vegetation history of an Amazonian domed peatland. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* **468**, 129–141 (2017).
27. Draper, F. C. et al. The distribution and amount of carbon in the largest peatland complex in Amazonia. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **9**, 124017 (2014). **Using a combination of remote sensing and field data, this study provides an assessment of the distribution of above- and belowground peatland carbon stocks in the Pastaza–Marañón foreland basin in Peruvian Amazonia.**
28. Phillips, S., Rouse, G. E. & Bustin, R. M. Vegetation zones and diagnostic pollen profiles of a coastal peat swamp, Bocas del Toro, Panamá. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* **128**, 301–338 (1997).
29. Sjögersten, S. et al. Coastal wetland ecosystems deliver large carbon stocks in tropical Mexico. *Geoderma* **403**, 115175 (2021).
30. Joosten, H. in *Tropical Peatland Ecosystems* (eds Osaki, M. & Tsuji, N.) 33–48 (Springer, 2016).
31. Anderson, J. A. R. in *Mires: Swamp, Bog, Fen and Moor: Regional Studies* (ed. Gore, A. J. P.) 191–199 (Elsevier, 1983).
32. Draper, F. C. et al. Peatland forests are the least diverse tree communities documented in Amazonia, but contribute to high regional beta-diversity. *Ecography* **41**, 1256–1269 (2018).
33. Anderson, J. A. R. *Ecology and Forest Types of The Peat Swamp Forests of Sarawak and Brunei in Relation to their Silviculture*. Thesis, Univ. Edinburgh (1961).
34. Freund, C. A., Harsanto, F. A., Purwanto, A., Takahashi, H. & Harrison, M. E. Microtopographic specialization and flexibility in tropical peat swamp forest tree species. *Biotropica* **50**, 208–214 (2018).
35. Lampela, M. et al. Ground surface microtopography and vegetation patterns in a tropical peat swamp forest. *CATENA* **139**, 127–136 (2016).
36. Miyamoto, K. et al. Habitat differentiation among tree species with small-scale variation of humus depth and topography in a tropical heath forest of Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. *J. Trop. Ecol.* **19**, 43–54 (2003).
37. Miettinen, J., Shi, C. & Uiew, S. C. Land cover distribution in the peatlands of peninsular Malaysia, Sumatra and Borneo in 2015 with changes since 1990. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.* **6**, 67–78 (2016).
38. Wijedasa, L. S. et al. Carbon emissions from South-East Asian peatlands will increase despite emission-reduction schemes. *Glob. Change Biol.* **24**, 4598–4613 (2018).
39. Page, S. E. & Hooijer, A. In the line of fire: the peatlands of Southeast Asia. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **371**, 20150176 (2016).
40. Hergoualc’h, K., Gutiérrez-Vélez, V. H., Menton, M. & Verchot, L. V. Characterizing degradation of palm swamp peatlands from space and on the ground: an exploratory study in the Peruvian Amazon. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **393**, 63–73 (2017).
41. Horn, C. M., Vargas Paredes, V. H., Gilmore, M. P. & Endress, B. A. Spatio-temporal patterns of *Mauritia flexuosa* fruit extraction in the Peruvian Amazon: implications for conservation and sustainability. *Appl. Geogr.* **97**, 98–108 (2018).
42. Dargie, G. C. et al. Congo Basin peatlands: threats and conservation priorities. *Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Change* **24**, 669–686 (2019).
43. Grundling, P.-L. & Grootjans, A. P. in *The Wetland Book. II: Distribution, Description, and Conservation* (eds Finlayson, M., Milton, G., Prentice, R. & Davidson, N.) (Springer, 2018).
44. Roucoux, K. H. et al. Threats to intact tropical peatlands and opportunities for their conservation. *Conserv. Biol.* **31**, 1283–1292 (2017).
45. Baird, A. J. et al. High permeability explains the vulnerability of the carbon store in drained tropical peatlands. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **44**, 1333–1339 (2017). **This study finds that the permeability of ombrotrophic tropical peat is higher than expected, resulting in deep water tables in ditched tropical peatlands and associated high rates of peat oxidation.**
46. Kelly, T. J. et al. The high hydraulic conductivity of three wooded tropical peat swamps in northeast Peru: measurements and implications for hydrological function. *Hydrol. Process.* **28**, 3373–3387 (2014).
47. Tonks, A. J. et al. Impacts of conversion of tropical peat swamp forest to oil palm plantation on peat organic chemistry, physical properties and carbon stocks. *Geoderma* **289**, 36–45 (2017).
48. Mezbahuddin, M., Grant, R. F. & Hirano, T. How hydrology determines seasonal and interannual variations in water table depth, surface energy exchange, and water stress in a tropical peatland: modeling versus measurements. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.* **120**, 2132–2157 (2015).
49. Laurén, A. et al. Nutrient balance as a tool for maintaining yield and mitigating environmental impacts of *Acacia* plantation in drained tropical peatland — description of plantation simulator. *Forests* **12**, 312 (2021).
50. Hooijer, A. et al. Subsidence and carbon loss in drained tropical peatlands. *Biogeosciences* **9**, 1053–1071 (2012).
51. Anshari, G. Z., Gustinayanti, E. & Novita, N. The use of subsidence to estimate carbon loss from deforested and drained tropical peatlands in Indonesia. *Forests* **12**, 732 (2021).
52. Evans, C. D. et al. A novel low-cost, high-resolution camera system for measuring peat subsidence and water table dynamics. *Front. Environ. Sci.* **9**, 33 (2021).
53. Evans, C. D. et al. Rates and spatial variability of peat subsidence in *Acacia* plantation and forest landscapes in Sumatra, Indonesia. *Geoderma* **338**, 410–421 (2019).
54. Hoyt, A. M., Chaussard, E., Seppäläinen, S. S. & Harvey, C. F. Widespread subsidence and carbon emissions across Southeast Asian peatlands. *Nat. Geosci.* **13**, 435–440 (2020). **Using remote sensing, this study quantifies the rate of peat subsidence and carbon loss across peatlands in Southeast Asia.**
55. Cobb, A. R., Dommain, R., Tan, F., Heng, N. H. E. & Harvey, C. F. Carbon storage capacity of tropical peatlands in natural and artificial drainage networks. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **15**, 114009 (2020).
56. Ritzema, H., Limin, S., Kusin, K., Jauhainen, J. & Wösten, H. Canal blocking strategies for hydrological restoration of degraded tropical peatlands in central Kalimantan, Indonesia. *CATENA* **114**, 11–20 (2014).
57. Hooijer, A., Vernimmen, R., Visser, M. & Mawdsley, N. Flooding projections from elevation and subsidence models for oil palm plantations in the Rajang Delta peatlands, Sarawak, Malaysia (Deltares, 2015).
58. Sumarga, E., Hein, L., Hooijer, A. & Vernimmen, R. Hydrological and economic effects of oil palm cultivation in Indonesian peatlands. *Ecol. Soc.* **21**, 52 (2016).
59. Evers, S., Yule, C. M., Padfield, R., O’Reilly, P. & Varkkey, H. Keep wetlands wet: the myth of sustainable development of tropical peatlands — implications for policies and management. *Glob. Change Biol.* **23**, 534–549 (2017). **This study reviews the ecosystem services provided by Southeast Asian peatlands and discusses key policy challenges for peatland management.**
60. Tan, Z. D., Lupascu, M. & Wijedasa, L. S. Paludiculture as a sustainable land use alternative for tropical peatlands: a review. *Sci. Total Environ.* **753**, 142111 (2021). **This study evaluates the current understanding of and opportunities for paludiculture in the context of tropical peatlands, emphasizing that tropical paludiculture will be heavily influenced by socioeconomic considerations.**
61. Haraguchi, A. in *Tropical Peatland Ecosystems* (Osaki, M. & Tsuji, N.) 297–311 (Springer, 2016).
62. Wösten, J. H. M., Ismail, A. B. & van Wijk, A. L. M. Peat subsidence and its practical implications: a case study in Malaysia. *Geoderma* **78**, 25–36 (1997).
63. Grealish, G. J. & Fitzpatrick, R. W. Acid sulphate soil characterization in Negara Brunei Darussalam: a case study to inform management decisions. *Soil. Use Manag.* **29**, 432–444 (2013).
64. Klepper, O., Chairuddin, G. T., Iriansyah & Rijkse, H. D. Water quality and the distribution of some fishes in an area of acid sulphate soils, Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Hydrobiol. Bull.* **25**, 217–224 (1992).
65. Shamsuddin, J. & Muhrizal, S. Chemical pollution in acid sulfate soils. *Proc. Geol. Soc. Malaysia Annu. Geol. Conf.* **2000**, 231–234 (2000).
66. Suwardi. Utilization and improvement of marginal soils for agricultural development in Indonesia. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* **383**, 012047 (2019).
67. Hirano, T., Jauhainen, J., Inoue, T. & Takahashi, H. Controls on the carbon balance of tropical peatlands. *Ecosystems* **12**, 873–887 (2009).
68. Stumm, W. & Morgan, J. J. *Aquatic Chemistry: Chemical Equilibria and Rates in Natural Waters* (Wiley, 1996).
69. Bilett, M. F., Garnett, M. H. & Dinsmore, K. J. Should aquatic CO₂ evasion be included in contemporary carbon budgets for peatland ecosystems? *Ecosystems* **18**, 471–480 (2015).
70. Chimner, R. A. & Ewel, K. C. A tropical freshwater wetland: II. Production, decomposition, and peat formation. *Wetl. Ecol. Manag.* **13**, 671–684 (2005).
71. Hoyos-Santillan, J. et al. Getting to the root of the problem: litter decomposition and peat formation in lowland neotropical peatlands. *Biogeochemistry* **126**, 115–129 (2015).
72. Könönen, M. et al. Land use increases the recalcitrance of tropical peat. *Wetl. Ecol. Manag.* **24**, 717–731 (2016).
73. Sangok, F. E., Maie, N., Mellling, L. & Watanabe, A. Evaluation on the decomposability of tropical forest peat soils after conversion to an oil palm plantation. *Sci. Total Environ.* **587–588**, 381–388 (2017).
74. Yule, C. M., Lim, Y. Y. & Lim, T. Y. Degradation of tropical Malaysian peatlands decreases levels of phenolics in soil and in leaves of *Macaranga pruinosa*. *Front. Earth Sci.* **4**, 1–9 (2016).
75. Yu, Z. et al. Peatlands and their role in the global carbon cycle. *Eos* **92**, 97–98 (2011).
76. Låhteenoja, O., Ruokolainen, K., Schulman, L. & Oinonen, M. Amazonian peatlands: an ignored C sink and potential source. *Glob. Change Biol.* **15**, 2311–2320 (2009).
77. Garneau, M. et al. Holocene carbon dynamics of boreal and subarctic peatlands from Québec, Canada. *Holocene* **24**, 1043–1053 (2014).
78. Gorham, E. Northern peatlands: role in the carbon cycle and probable responses to climatic warming. *Ecol. Appl.* **1**, 182–195 (1991).
79. Turunen, J., Roulet, N. T., Moore, T. R. & Richard, P. J. H. Nitrogen deposition and increased carbon accumulation in ombrotrophic peatlands in eastern Canada. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **18**, GB3002 (2004).
80. Yu, Z. C. Northern peatland carbon stocks and dynamics: a review. *Biogeosciences* **9**, 4071–4085 (2012).
81. Poulter, B. et al. in *Wetland Carbon And Environmental Management* (eds Krauss, K. W., Zhu, Z. & Stagg, C. L.) 1–20 (American Geophysical Union, 2021).
82. Honorio Coronado, E. et al. Intensive field sampling increases the known extent of carbon-rich Amazonian peatland pole forests. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **16**, 074048 (2021).
83. Sjögersten, S. et al. Tropical wetlands: a missing link in the global carbon cycle? Carbon cycling in tropical wetlands. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **28**, 1371–1386 (2014).

84. Griffis, T. J. et al. Hydrometeorological sensitivities of net ecosystem carbon dioxide and methane exchange of an Amazonian palm swamp peatland. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **295**, 108167 (2020).
85. Kiew, F. et al. CO₂ balance of a secondary tropical peat swamp forest in Sarawak, Malaysia. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **248**, 494–501 (2018).
86. Hirano, T. et al. Effects of disturbances on the carbon balance of tropical peat swamp forests. *Glob. Change Biol.* **18**, 3410–3422 (2012).
87. Tang, A. C. I. et al. A Bornean peat swamp forest is a net source of carbon dioxide to the atmosphere. *Glob. Change Biol.* **26**, 6931–6944 (2020).
88. Deshmukh, C. S. et al. Conservation slows down emission increase from a tropical peatland in Indonesia. *Nat. Geosci.* **14**, 484–490 (2021).
This study presented measurements of CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes obtained using the eddy covariance method from both intact and degraded peat swamp forest in Sumatra, Indonesia, during the 2019 ENSO drought.
89. Kiew, F. et al. Carbon dioxide balance of an oil palm plantation established on tropical peat. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **295**, 108189 (2020).
90. McCalmont, J. et al. Short- and long-term carbon emissions from oil palm plantations converted from logged tropical peat swamp forest. *Glob. Change Biol.* **27**, 2361–2376 (2021).
91. Germer, J. & Sauerborn, J. Estimation of the impact of oil palm plantation establishment on greenhouse gas balance. *Environ. Dev. Sustain.* **10**, 697–716 (2008).
92. Lewis, K. et al. An assessment of oil palm plantation aboveground biomass stocks on tropical peat using destructive and non-destructive methods. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 2230 (2020).
93. Wijedasa, L. S. *Peat Swamp Forest Conservation in Southeast Asia*. Thesis, National Univ. Singapore (2019).
94. Moore, S. et al. Deep instability of deforested tropical peatlands revealed by fluvial organic carbon fluxes. *Nature* **493**, 660–663 (2013).
95. Cook, S. et al. Fluvial organic carbon fluxes from oil palm plantations on tropical peatland. *Biogeosciences* **15**, 7435–7450 (2018).
96. Waldron, S. et al. C mobilisation in disturbed tropical peat swamps: old DOC can fuel the fluvial efflux of old carbon dioxide, but site recovery can occur. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 11429 (2019).
97. Brady, M. A. *Organic Matter Dynamics of Coastal Peat Deposits in Sumatra, Indonesia*. Thesis, Univ. British Columbia (1997).
98. Jauhainen, J., Limin, S., Silvennoinen, H. & Vasander, H. Carbon dioxide and methane fluxes in drained tropical peat before and after hydrological restoration. *Ecology* **89**, 3503–3514 (2008).
99. Jauhainen, J., Takahashi, H., Heikkinen, J. E. P., Martikainen, P. J. & Vasander, H. Carbon fluxes from a tropical peat swamp forest floor. *Glob. Change Biol.* **11**, 1788–1797 (2005).
100. Yule, C. M. & Gomez, L. N. Leaf litter decomposition in a tropical peat swamp forest in peninsular Malaysia. *Wetl. Ecol. Manag.* **17**, 231–241 (2009).
101. Swails, E., Hertanti, D., Hergoualch, K., Verchot, L. & Lawrence, D. The response of soil respiration to climatic drivers in undrained forest and drained oil palm plantations in an Indonesian peatland. *Biogeochemistry* **142**, 37–51 (2019).
102. Ishikura, K. et al. Carbon dioxide and methane emissions from peat soil in an undrained tropical peat swamp forest. *Ecosystems* **22**, 1852–1868 (2019).
103. Melling, L., Tan, C. Y., Goh, K. J. & Hatano, R. Soil microbial and root respirations from three ecosystems in tropical peatland of Sarawak, Malaysia. *J. Oil Palm. Res.* **25**, 44–57 (2013).
104. Cooper, H. V. et al. Greenhouse gas emissions resulting from conversion of peat swamp forest to oil palm plantation. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 407 (2020).
105. Girkin, N. T., Turner, B. L., Ostle, N. & Sjögersten, S. Root-derived CO₂ flux from a tropical peatland. *Wetl. Ecol. Manag.* **26**, 985–991 (2018).
106. Dhandapani, S., Ritz, K., Evers, S., Yule, C. M. & Sjögersten, S. Are secondary forests second-rate? Comparing peatland greenhouse gas emissions, chemical and microbial community properties between primary and secondary forests in peninsular Malaysia. *Sci. Total Environ.* **655**, 220–231 (2019).
107. Dhandapani, S. et al. Land-use changes associated with oil palm plantations impact PLFA microbial phenotypic community structure throughout the depth of tropical peats. *Wetlands* **40**, 2351–2366 (2020).
108. Mishra, S. et al. Microbial and metabolic profiling reveal strong influence of water table and land-use patterns on classification of degraded tropical peatlands. *Biogeosciences* **11**, 1727–1741 (2014).
109. Mishra, S. et al. Degradation of Southeast Asian tropical peatlands and integrated strategies for their better management and restoration. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **58**, 1370–1387 (2021).
This paper reviews current understanding of intact and degraded peatlands in Southeast Asia and proposes an approach for peatland management and restoration involving explicit consideration of interacting ecological factors and the involvement of local communities.
110. Carlson, K. M., Goodman, L. K. & May-Tobin, C. C. Modeling relationships between water table depth and peat soil carbon loss in Southeast Asian plantations. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **10**, 074006 (2015).
111. Carlson, K. M. et al. Committed carbon emissions, deforestation, and community land conversion from oil palm plantation expansion in West Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 7559–7564 (2012).
112. Couwenberg, J., Dommann, R. & Joosten, H. Greenhouse gas fluxes from tropical peatlands in south-east Asia. *Glob. Change Biol.* **16**, 1715–1732 (2010).
113. Evans, C. D. et al. Overriding water table control on managed peatland greenhouse gas emissions. *Nature* **593**, 548–552 (2021).
Using data for CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes from all major peatland biomes, this paper demonstrates that greenhouse gas emissions from drained agricultural peatlands could be greatly reduced by raising water levels closer to the peat surface while maintaining productive agricultural use.
114. Hooijer, A. et al. Current and future CO₂ emissions from drained peatlands in Southeast Asia. *Biogeosciences* **7**, 1505–1514 (2010).
115. Hiraishi, T. et al. (eds) 2013 Supplement to the 2006 IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories: wetlands (IPCC, 2014).
116. Jauhainen, J., Kerojoki, O., Silvennoinen, H., Limin, S. & Vasander, H. Heterotrophic respiration in drained tropical peat is greatly affected by temperature — a passive ecosystem cooling experiment. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **9**, 105013 (2014).
117. Manning, F. C., Kho, L. K., Hill, T. C., Cornulier, T. & Teh, Y. A. Carbon emissions from oil palm plantations on peat soil. *Front. For. Glob. Change* <https://doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2019.00037> (2019).
118. Deshmukh, C. S. et al. Impact of forest plantation on methane emissions from tropical peatland. *Glob. Change Biol.* **26**, 2477–2495 (2020).
119. Wong, G. X. et al. How do land use practices affect methane emissions from tropical peat ecosystems? *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **282–283**, 107869 (2020).
120. Pangala, S. R. et al. Large emissions from floodplain trees close the Amazon methane budget. *Nature* **552**, 230–234 (2017).
121. Pangala, S. R., Moore, S., Hornbrook, E. R. C. & Gauci, V. Trees are major conduits for methane egress from tropical forested wetlands. *N. Phytol.* **197**, 524–531 (2013).
122. Hergoualch, K. et al. Spatial and temporal variability of soil N₂O and CH₄ fluxes along a degradation gradient in a palm swamp peat forest in the Peruvian Amazon. *Glob. Change Biol.* **26**, 7198–7216 (2020).
123. Teh, Y. A., Murphy, W. A., Berrio, J.-C., Boom, A. & Page, S. E. Seasonal variability in methane and nitrous oxide fluxes from tropical peatlands in the western Amazon basin. *Biogeosciences* **14**, 3669–3683 (2017).
124. Hoyos-Santillan, J. et al. Evaluation of vegetation communities, water table, and peat composition as drivers of greenhouse gas emissions in lowland tropical peatlands. *Sci. Total Environ.* **688**, 1193–1204 (2019).
125. van Haren, J. et al. A versatile gas flux chamber reveals high tree stem CH₄ emissions in Amazonian peatland. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **307**, 108504 (2021).
126. Sjögersten, S. et al. Temperature response of ex-situ greenhouse gas emissions from tropical peatlands: interactions between forest type and peat moisture conditions. *Geoderma* **324**, 47–55 (2018).
127. Girkin, N. T. et al. Spatial variability of organic matter properties determines methane fluxes in a tropical forested peatland. *Biogeochemistry* **142**, 231–245 (2019).
128. Girkin, N. T., Turner, B. L., Ostle, N. & Sjögersten, S. Composition and concentration of root exudate analogues regulate greenhouse gas fluxes from tropical peat. *Soil. Biol. Biochem.* **127**, 280–285 (2018).
129. Girkin, N. T., Vane, C. H., Turner, B. L., Ostle, N. J. & Sjögersten, S. Root oxygen mitigates methane fluxes in tropical peatlands. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **15**, 064013 (2020).
130. Jauhainen, J., Silvennoinen, H., Könönen, M., Limin, S. & Vasander, H. Management driven changes in carbon mineralization dynamics of tropical peat. *Biogeochemistry* **129**, 115–132 (2016).
131. Wright, E. L. et al. Contribution of subsurface peat to CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes in a neotropical peatland. *Glob. Change Biol.* **17**, 2867–2881 (2011).
132. Prananto, J. A., Minasny, B., Comeau, L., Rudiyanto, R. & Grace, P. Drainage increases CO₂ and N₂O emissions from tropical peat soils. *Glob. Change Biol.* **26**, 4583–4600 (2020).
133. Peacock, M. et al. Global importance of methane emissions from drainage ditches and canals. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **16**, 044010 (2021).
134. Chuang, P.-C. et al. Methane fluxes from tropical coastal lagoons surrounded by mangroves, Yucatán, Mexico. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.* **122**, 1156–1174 (2017).
135. Jauhainen, J. & Silvennoinen, H. Diffusion GHG fluxes at tropical peatland drainage canal water surfaces. *Suomen* **63**, 93–105 (2012).
136. Yupi, H. M., Inoue, T. & Bathgate, J. Concentrations, loads and yields of organic carbon from two tropical peat swamp forest streams in Riau Province, Sumatra, Indonesia. *Mires Peat* **18**, 1–15 (2016).
137. Zhou, Y., Evans, C. D., Chen, Y., Chang, K. Y. W. & Martin, P. Extensive remineralization of peatland-derived dissolved organic carbon and ocean acidification in the Sunda Shelf Sea, Southeast Asia. *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.* **126**, e2021JC017292 (2021).
138. Alkhatib, M., Jennerjahn, T. C. & Samiati, J. Biogeochemistry of the Dumai River estuary, Sumatra, Indonesia, a tropical black-water river. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **52**, 2410–2417 (2007).
139. Gandois, L. et al. From canals to the coast: dissolved organic matter and trace metal composition in rivers draining degraded tropical peatlands in Indonesia. *Biogeosciences* **17**, 1897–1909 (2020).
140. Rixen, T. et al. The Siak, a tropical black water river in central Sumatra on the verge of anoxia. *Biogeochemistry* **90**, 129–140 (2008).
141. Miettinen, J., Hooijer, A., Vermimmen, R., Liew, S. C. & Page, S. E. From carbon sink to carbon source: extensive peat oxidation in insular Southeast Asia since 1990. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **12**, 024014 (2017).
142. Loisel, J. et al. Expert assessment of future vulnerability of the global peatland carbon sink. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **11**, 70–77 (2021).
143. Boysen, L. R. et al. Global and regional effects of land-use change on climate in 21st century simulations with interactive carbon cycle. *Earth Syst. Dyn.* **5**, 309–319 (2014).
144. Sith, S. et al. Recent trends and drivers of regional sources and sinks of carbon dioxide. *Biogeosciences* **12**, 653–679 (2015).
145. Mitchard, E. T. A. The tropical forest carbon cycle and climate change. *Nature* **559**, 527–534 (2018).
146. Naidu, D. G. T. & Bagchi, S. Greening of the Earth does not compensate for rising soil heterotrophic respiration under climate change. *Glob. Change Biol.* **27**, 2029–2038 (2021).
147. Li, W. et al. Future precipitation changes and their implications for tropical peatlands. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **34**, 01403 (2007).
148. Barichivich, J. et al. Recent intensification of Amazon flooding extremes driven by strengthened Walker circulation. *Sci. Adv.* **4**, eaat8785 (2018).
149. Marengo, J. A. et al. Changes in climate and land use over the Amazon region: current and future variability and trends. *Front. Earth Sci.* **6**, 228 (2018).
150. Cobb, A. R. et al. How temporal patterns in rainfall determine the geomorphology and carbon fluxes of tropical peatlands. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **114**, E5187–E5196 (2017).
151. Cai, W. et al. Increased variability of eastern Pacific El Niño under greenhouse warming. *Nature* **564**, 201–206 (2018).
152. Rifai, S. W., Li, S. & Malhi, Y. Coupling of El Niño events and long-term warming leads to pervasive climate extremes in the terrestrial tropics. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **14**, 105002 (2019).
153. Girkin, N. T. et al. Interactions between labile carbon, temperature and land use regulate carbon dioxide and methane production in tropical peat. *Biogeochemistry* **147**, 87–97 (2020).
154. Cole, L. E. S., Bhagwat, S. A. & Willis, K. J. Long-term disturbance dynamics and resilience of tropical peat swamp forests. *J. Ecol.* **103**, 16–30 (2015).

155. Weiss, D. et al. The geochemistry of major and selected trace elements in a forested peat bog, Kalimantan, SE Asia, and its implications for past atmospheric dust deposition. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **66**, 2307–2323 (2002).
156. Laitinen, O. & Page, S. High diversity of tropical peatland ecosystem types in the Pastaza-Marathon basin, Peruvian Amazonia. *J. Geophys. Res.* **116**, G02025 (2011).
157. Roucoux, K. H. et al. Vegetation development in an Amazonian peatland. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* **374**, 242–255 (2013).
158. Lampela, M., Jauhainen, J. & Vasander, H. Surface peat structure and chemistry in a tropical peat swamp forest. *Plant. Soil* **382**, 329–347 (2014).
159. Page, S. E., Rieley, J. O., Shetye, O. W. & Weiss, D. Interdependence of peat and vegetation in a tropical peat swamp forest. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B* **354**, 1885–1897 (1999).
160. Sjögersten, S., Cheesman, A. W., Lopez, O. & Turner, B. L. Biogeochemical processes along a nutrient gradient in a tropical ombrotrophic peatland. *Biogeochemistry* **104**, 147–163 (2011).
161. Yule, C. M. Loss of biodiversity and ecosystem functioning in Indo-Malayan peat swamp forests. *Biodivers. Conserv.* **19**, 393–409 (2010).
162. Basilier, K. Moss-associated nitrogen fixation in some mire and coniferous forest environments around Uppsala, Sweden. *Lindbergia* **5**, 84–88 (1979).
163. Ong, C. S. P., Juan, J. C. & Yule, C. M. Litterfall production and chemistry of *Koompassia malaccensis* and *Shorea uliginosa* in a tropical peat swamp forest: plant nutrient regulation and climate relationships. *Trees* **29**, 527–537 (2015).
164. Wüst, R. A. J. & Bustin, R. M. Opaline and Al-Si phyloliths from a tropical mire system of West Malaysia: abundance, habit, elemental composition, preservation and significance. *Chem. Geol.* **200**, 267–292 (2003).
165. Neuzil, S. G., Cecil, C. B., Kane, J. S. & Soedjono, K. in *Modern and Ancient Coal-Forming Environments* Vol. 286 (Geological Society of America, 1993).
166. Too, C. C., Keller, A., Sickle, W., Lee, S. M. & Yule, C. M. Microbial community structure in a Malaysian tropical peat swamp forest: the influence of tree species and depth. *Front. Microbiol.* **9**, 2859 (2018).
167. Sulistiyanto, Y. *Nutrient Dynamics in Different Sub-types of Peat Swamp Forest in Central Kalimantan, Indonesia*. Thesis, Univ. Nottingham (2005).
168. Hoyos Santillán, J. *Controls of Carbon Turnover in Tropical Peatlands*. Thesis, Univ. Nottingham (2014).
169. Damman, A. W. H. Distribution and movement of elements in ombrotrophic peat bogs. *Oikos* **30**, 480–495 (1978).
170. Laiho, R. & Laine, J. Nitrogen and phosphorus stores in peatlands drained for forestry in Finland. *Scand. J. For. Res.* **9**, 251–260 (1994).
171. Wang, M., Moore, T. R., Talbot, J. & Riley, J. L. The stoichiometry of carbon and nutrients in peat formation. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **29**, 113–121 (2015).
172. Hodgkins, S. B. et al. Tropical peatland carbon storage linked to global latitudinal trends in peat recalcitrance. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 3640 (2018).
173. Jackson, C. R., Uiew, K. C. & Yule, C. M. Structural and functional changes with depth in microbial communities in a tropical Malaysian peat swamp forest. *Microb. Ecol.* **57**, 402–412 (2009).
174. Kolb, S. & Horn, M. A. Microbial CH_4 and NO consumption in acidic wetlands. *Front. Microbiol.* **3**, 78 (2012).
175. Golovchenko, A. V., Tikhonova, E. Y. & Zvyagintsev, D. G. Abundance, biomass, structure, and activity of the microbial complexes of minerotrophic and ombrotrophic peatlands. *Microbiology* **76**, 630–637 (2007).
176. Martikainen, P. J., Nykänen, H., Crill, P. & Silvola, J. Effect of a lowered water table on nitrous oxide fluxes from northern peatlands. *Nature* **366**, 51–53 (1993).
177. Davidson, E. A., Keller, M., Erickson, H. E., Verdot, L. V. & Veldkamp, E. Testing a conceptual model of soil emissions of nitrous and nitric oxides. *Bioscience* **50**, 667 (2000).
178. Rubol, S., Silver, W. L. & Bellin, A. Hydrologic control on redox and nitrogen dynamics in a peatland soil. *Sci. Total Environ.* **432**, 37–46 (2012).
179. Jauhainen, J. et al. Nitrous oxide fluxes from tropical peat with different disturbance history and management. *Biogeochemistry* **9**, 1337–1350 (2012).
180. Kónönen, M., Jauhainen, J., Laiho, R., Kusin, K. & Vasander, H. Physical and chemical properties of tropical peat under stabilised land uses. *Mires Peat* **16**, 1–13 (2015).
181. Chotimah, H., Jaya, A., Suparto, H., Saraswati, D. & Nawansyah, W. Utilizing organic fertilizers on two types of soil to improve growth and yield of *Bawang Dayak* (*Eleutherine americana* Merr.). *Agrivita J. Agric. Sci.* **43**, 164–173 (2021).
182. Mohidin, H. et al. Optimum levels of N, P, and K nutrition for oil palm seedlings grown in tropical peat soil. *J. Plant. Nutr.* **42**, 1461–1471 (2019).
183. Mutert, E., Fairhurst, T. H. & Von Uexküll, H. R. Agronomic management of oil palms on deep peat. *Better Crop. Int.* **13**, 22–27 (1999).
184. Hashim, S. A., Teh, C. B. S. & Ahmed, O. H. Influence of water table depths, nutrients leaching losses, subsidence of tropical peat soil and oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) seedling growth. *Malays. J. Soil. Sci.* **23**, 13–30 (2019).
185. Oktarita, S., Herguacil, K., Anwar, S. & Verchot, L. V. Substantial N_2O emissions from peat decomposition and N fertilization in an oil palm plantation exacerbated by hotspots. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **12**, 104007 (2017).
186. Hoyos-Santillán, J. et al. Root oxygen loss from *Raphia taedigera* palms mediates greenhouse gas emissions in lowland neotropical peatlands. *Plant. Soil* **404**, 47–60 (2016).
187. Hatano, R. Impact of land use change on greenhouse gases emissions in peatland: a review. *Int. Agrophys.* **33**, 167–173 (2019).
188. Zawawi, N. Z. et al. The effect of nitrogen fertiliser on nitrous oxide emission in oil palm plantation. *Proc. 15th Int. Peat Congress* **355**, 515–518 (2016).
189. Turetsky, M. R. et al. Global vulnerability of peatlands to fire and carbon loss. *Nat. Geosci.* **8**, 11–14 (2015).
190. Hu, Y. et al. Review of emissions from smouldering peat fires and their contribution to regional haze episodes. *Int. J. Wildland Fire* **27**, 293–312 (2018).
191. Huijnen, V. et al. Fire carbon emissions over maritime southeast Asia in 2015 largest since 1997. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 26886 (2016).
192. Smith, T. E. L., Evers, S., Yule, C. M. & Gan, J. Y. In situ tropical peatland fire emission factors and their variability, as determined by field measurements in peninsula Malaysia. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **32**, 18–31 (2018).
193. Stockwell, C. E. et al. Field measurements of trace gases and aerosols emitted by peat fires in Central Kalimantan, Indonesia, during the 2015 El Niño. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **16**, 11711–11732 (2016).
194. Betha, R. et al. Chemical speciation of trace metals emitted from Indonesian peat fires for health risk assessment. *Atmos. Res.* **122**, 571–578 (2013).
195. Breulmann, G. et al. Heavy metals in emergent trees and pioneers from tropical forest with special reference to forest fires and local pollution sources in Sarawak, Malaysia. *Sci. Total Environ.* **285**, 107–115 (2002).
196. Othman, M. & Latif, M. T. Dust and gas emissions from small-scale peat combustion. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.* **13**, 1045–1059 (2013).
197. See, S. W., Balasubramanian, R. & Wang, W. A study of the physical, chemical, and optical properties of ambient aerosol particles in Southeast Asia during hazy and nonhazy days. *J. Geophys. Res.* **111**, D10S08 (2006).
198. Nikonovs, T., Spessa, A., Doerr, S. H., Clay, G. D. & Mezbahuddin, S. Near-complete loss of fire-resistant primary tropical forest cover in Sumatra and Kalimantan. *Commun. Earth Env.* **1**, 65 (2020).
199. Field, R. D., van der Werf, G. R. & Shen, S. S. P. Human amplification of drought-induced biomass burning in Indonesia since 1960. *Nat. Geosci.* **2**, 185–188 (2009).
200. Astiani, D., Taherzadeh, M. J., Gusmayanti, E., Widiastuti, T. & Burhanuddin, B. Local knowledge on landscape sustainable-hydrological management reduces soil CO_2 emission, fire risk and biomass loss in west Kalimantan peatland, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas J. Biol. Divers.* **20**, 725–731 (2019).
201. Cattau, M. E. et al. Sources of anthropogenic fire ignitions on the peat-swamp landscape in Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Glob. Environ. Change* **39**, 205–219 (2016).
202. Edwards, R. B., Naylor, R. L., Higgins, M. M. & Falcon, W. P. Causes of Indonesia's forest fires. *World Dev.* **127**, 104717 (2020).
203. Field, R. D. & Shen, S. S. P. Predictability of carbon emissions from biomass burning in Indonesia from 1997 to 2006. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.* **113**, G04024 (2008).
204. Sloan, S., Locatelli, B., Wooster, M. J. & Gaveau, D. L. A. Fire activity in Borneo driven by industrial land conversion and drought during El Niño periods, 1982–2010. *Glob. Environ. Change* **47**, 95–109 (2017).
205. Page, S. E. et al. The amount of carbon released from peat and forest fires in Indonesia during 1997. *Nature* **420**, 61–65 (2002).
206. World Bank. The cost of fire: an economic analysis of Indonesia's 2015 fire crisis (World Bank, 2016).
207. Tacconi, L. Preventing fires and haze in Southeast Asia. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **6**, 640–643 (2016).
208. Lupascu, M., Akhtar, H., Smith, T. E. L. & Sukri, R. S. Post-fire carbon dynamics in the tropical peat swamp forests of Brunei reveal long-term elevated CH_4 flux. *Glob. Change Biol.* **26**, 5125–5145 (2020).
209. Milner, L. E. *Influence of Fire on Peat Organic Matter from Indonesian Tropical Peatlands*. Thesis, Univ. Leicester (2013).
210. Saharjo, B. H. & Nurhayati, A. D. Changes in chemical and physical properties of hemic peat under fire-based shifting cultivation. *Tropics* **14**, 263–269 (2005).
211. Dhandapani, S. & Evers, S. Oil palm 'slash-and-burn' practice increases post-fire greenhouse gas emissions and nutrient concentrations in burnt regions of an agricultural tropical peatland. *Sci. Total Environ.* **742**, 140648 (2020).
212. Konecny, K. et al. Variable carbon losses from recurrent fires in drained tropical peatlands. *Glob. Change Biol.* **22**, 1469–1480 (2016).
213. Akhtar, H. et al. Significant sedge-mediated methane emissions from degraded tropical peatlands. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **16**, 014002 (2020).
214. Rein, G. in *Fire Phenomena and the Earth System* (ed. Belcher, C. M.) 15–33 (Wiley, 2013).
215. Graham, L. L. B. & Page, S. E. A limited seed bank in both natural and degraded tropical peat swamp forest: the implications for restoration. *Mires Peat* **22**, 02 (2018).
216. Graham, E. B. et al. Microbes as engines of ecosystem function: when does community structure enhance predictions of ecosystem processes? *Front. Microbiol.* **7**, 214 (2016).
217. Page, S. et al. Restoration ecology of lowland tropical peatlands in Southeast Asia: current knowledge and future research directions. *Ecosystems* **12**, 888–905 (2009).
218. Sazawa, K. et al. Impact of peat fire on the soil and export of dissolved organic carbon in tropical peat soil, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. *ACS Earth Space Chem.* **2**, 692–701 (2018).
219. Dove, N. C. & Hart, S. C. Fire reduces fungal species richness and in situ mycorrhizal colonization: a meta-analysis. *Fire Ecol.* **13**, 37–65 (2017).
220. Veldkamp, E., Schmidt, M., Powers, J. S. & Corre, M. D. Deforestation and reforestation impacts on soils in the tropics. *Nat. Rev. Earth Env.* **1**, 590–605 (2020).
221. Oie, L. et al. Long-term carbon sink in Borneo's forests halted by drought and vulnerable to edge effects. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1966 (2017).
222. Giesen, W. & Sari, E. N. N. Tropical peatland restoration report: the Indonesian case. *MCA Indonesia* <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.30049.40808> (2018).
223. Dohong, A., Abdul Aziz, A. & Dargusch, P. A review of techniques for effective tropical peatland restoration. *Wetlands* **38**, 275–292 (2018).
224. Shell. *Redd+Katingan Mentaya, Indonesia*. <https://www.shell.co.uk/motorist/make-the-change-drive-carbon-neutral/redd-plus-katingan-mentaya-indonesia.html> (2021).
225. Uda, S. K., Hein, L. & Sumarga, E. Towards sustainable management of Indonesian tropical peatlands. *Wetl. Ecol. Manag.* **25**, 683–701 (2017).
226. Wichtmann, W., Tanneberger, F., Wichmann, S. & Joosten, H. Paludiculture is paludifuture: climate, biodiversity and economic benefits from agriculture and forestry on rewetted peatland. *Peat. Int.* **1**, 48–51 (2010).
227. Giesen, W. in *Tropical Peatland Eco-Management* (eds Osaki, M., Tsuji, N., Foad, N. & Rieley, J.) 411–441 (Springer, 2021).
228. Shurpali, N. J. et al. Atmospheric impact of bioenergy based on perennial crop (reed canary grass, *Phalaris arundinacea*, L.) cultivation on a drained boreal organic soil. *CG Bioenergy* **2**, 130–138 (2010).

229. Lawson, I. T. et al. Improving estimates of tropical peatland area, carbon storage, and greenhouse gas fluxes. *Wetl. Ecol. Manag.* **23**, 327–346 (2015).
230. Anda, M. et al. Revisiting tropical peatlands in Indonesia: semi-detailed mapping, extent and depth distribution assessment. *Geoderma* **402**, 115235 (2021).
231. Saxon, E. C., Neuzil, S. C., Biladi, D. B. C., Kinser, J. & Sheppard, S. M. 3D mapping of lowland coastal peat domes in Indonesia. *Mires Peat* **27**, 1–18 (2021).
232. Silvestri, S. et al. Quantification of peat thickness and stored carbon at the landscape scale in tropical peatlands: a comparison of airborne geophysics and an empirical topographic method. *J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf.* **124**, 3107–3123 (2019).
233. Verimimen, R. et al. Mapping deep peat carbon stock from a LIDAR based DTM and field measurements, with application to eastern Sumatra. *Carbon Balance Manag.* **15**, 4 (2020).
234. Andersen, R., Chapman, S. J. & Artz, R. R. E. Microbial communities in natural and disturbed peatlands: a review. *Soil. Biol. Biochem.* **57**, 979–994 (2013).
235. Morrison, E. S. et al. Characterization of bacterial and fungal communities reveals novel consortia in tropical oligotrophic peatlands. *Microb. Ecol.* **82**, 188–201 (2020).
236. Finn, D. R. et al. Methanogens and methanotrophs show nutrient-dependent community assemblage patterns across tropical peatlands of the Pastaza–Marañón Basin, Peruvian Amazonia. *Front. Microbiol.* **11**, 746 (2020).
237. Troxler, T. G. et al. Patterns of soil bacteria and canopy community structure related to tropical peatland development. *Wetlands* **32**, 769–782 (2012).
238. Tripathi, B. M. et al. Distinctive tropical forest variants have unique soil microbial communities, but not always low microbial diversity. *Front. Microbiol.* **7**, 376 (2016).
239. Kwon, M. J., Haraguchi, A. & Kang, H. Long-term water regime differentiates changes in decomposition and microbial properties in tropical peat soils exposed to the short-term drought. *Soil. Biol. Biochem.* **60**, 33–44 (2013).
240. Hadi, A. et al. Effects of land-use change in tropical peat soil on the microbial population and emission of greenhouse gases. *Microbes Env.* **16**, 79–86 (2001).
241. Kusai, N. A., Ayob, Z., Maidin, M. S. T., Safari, S. & Ahmad Ali, S. R. Characterization of fungi from different ecosystems of tropical peat in Sarawak, Malaysia. *Rendiconti Lincei Sci. Fis. E* **29**, 469–482 (2018).
242. Shuhada, S. N., Salim, S., Nobilly, F., Zubaid, A. & Azhar, B. Logged peat swamp forest supports greater macrofungal biodiversity than large-scale oil palm plantations and smallholdings. *Ecol. Evol.* **7**, 7187–7200 (2017).
243. Liu, B. et al. The microbial diversity and structure in peatland forest in Indonesia. *Soil. Use Manag.* **36**, 123–138 (2020).
244. Moyersoen, B., Becker, P. & Alexander, I. J. Are ectomycorrhizas more abundant than arbuscular mycorrhizas in tropical heath forests? *N. Phytol.* **150**, 591–599 (2001).
245. Mulyani, R. B., Sastrahidayat, I. R., Abdai, A. L. & Djauhari, S. Exploring ectomycorrhiza in peat swamp forest of Nyaru Menteng Palangka Raya Central Borneo. *J. Biodivers. Environ. Sci.* **5**, 133–145 (2014).
246. Turjaman, M. et al. Improvement of early growth of two tropical peat-swamp forest tree species *Poiarium altermifolium* and *Calophyllum hoesii* by two arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi under greenhouse conditions. *New Forests* **36**, 1–12 (2008).
247. Tawaraya, K. et al. Arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization of tree species grown in peat swamp forests of Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **182**, 381–386 (2003).
248. Fenner, N. & Freeman, C. Drought-induced carbon loss in peatlands. *Nat. Geosci.* **4**, 895–900 (2011).
249. Yuwati, T. W. & Putri, W. S. Diversity of arbuscular mycorrhiza spores under *Shorea balangeran* (Korth.) Burck. plantation as bioindicator for the revegetation success. *J. Calam* **1**, 15–26 (2020).
250. Graham, L. L. B., Turjaman, M. & Page, S. E. *Shorea balangeran* and *Dyera polyphylla* (syn. *Dyera lowii*) as tropical peat swamp forest restoration transplant species: effects of mycorrhizae and level of disturbance. *Wetl. Ecol. Manag.* **21**, 307–321 (2013).

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the following research programmes and funding sources. S.P. and C.D.E., S.S., S.E., G.A., A.J. and A.J.J.-S. were supported by the SUSTAINPEAT project ('Overcoming barriers to sustainable livelihoods and environments in smallholder agricultural systems on tropical peatland'), funded by United Kingdom Research and Innovation (UKRI) via the Global Challenges Research Fund and the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC), grant number BB/P023533/1. The authors are grateful to the Ministry of Research Technology and Higher Education of Indonesia (RISTEKDIKT) for their support of this project. S.P., G.D., I.A.S., A.J.J.-S. and S.S. were supported by the CongoPeat project, funded by UKRI via the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC), grant number NE/R016860/1. C.D.E. received additional support from the SUNRISE project ('Sustainable use of natural resources to improve human health and support economic development') via NERC, grant number NE/R000131/1. J.J. was supported by the TROPDEC project ('Tropical peat decomposition under land use change: adaptation to resources and conditions') funded by the Academy of Finland, project identifier 310194.

Author contributions

S.P. and C.D.E. devised the structure of the review and led the writing. S.M., A.L. and C.D.E. contributed both to the writing and to the design of the figures. All other authors contributed equally to the writing.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Peer review information

Nature Reviews Earth & Environment thanks Lulie Melling, who co-reviewed with Faustina Sangok, Zicheng Yu and Massimo Lupascu for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© Springer Nature Limited 2022

Anthropogenic impacts on lowland tropical peatland biogeochemistry

ORIGINALITY REPORT

7%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	ore.exeter.ac.uk Internet	74 words — 1%
2	Shailendra Mishra, Susan E. Page, Alexander R. Cobb, Ser Huay Lee Janice et al. "Degradation of Southeast Asian Tropical Peatlands and Integrated Strategies for Their Better Management and Restoration", Journal of Applied Ecology, 2021 Crossref	73 words — 1%
3	Harry Vereecken, Wulf Amelung, Sara L. Bauke, Heye Bogaen et al. "Soil hydrology in the Earth system", Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, 2022 Crossref	64 words — < 1%
4	www.science.gov Internet	60 words — < 1%
5	biblio.ugent.be Internet	49 words — < 1%
6	www.mdpi.com Internet	46 words — < 1%
7	www.aces-org.co.uk Internet	45 words — < 1%

8	research-repository.st-andrews.ac.uk Internet	40 words — < 1%
9	zero.sci-hub.se Internet	39 words — < 1%
10	hal.archives-ouvertes.fr Internet	37 words — < 1%
11	www.biorxiv.org Internet	31 words — < 1%
12	www.biogeosciences.net Internet	27 words — < 1%
13	www.annualreviews.org Internet	26 words — < 1%
14	helda.helsinki.fi Internet	25 words — < 1%
15	ir.library.oregonstate.edu Internet	21 words — < 1%
16	Chunjing Qiu, Philippe Ciais, Dan Zhu, Bertrand Guenet et al. "A strong mitigation scenario maintains climate neutrality of northern peatlands", <i>One Earth</i> , 2022 Crossref	16 words — < 1%
17	didixerix.weebly.com Internet	16 words — < 1%
18	portal.ufvjm.edu.br Internet	15 words — < 1%

19	Internet	15 words — < 1%
20	libres.uncg.edu Internet	14 words — < 1%
21	W. Amelung, D. Bossio, W. de Vries, I. Kögel-Knabner et al. "Towards a global-scale soil climate mitigation strategy", Nature Communications, 2020 Crossref	13 words — < 1%
22	onlinelibrary.wiley.com Internet	13 words — < 1%
23	pastel.archives-ouvertes.fr Internet	13 words — < 1%
24	Nicholas E. Korres. "Is grass biomethane a sustainable transport biofuel?", Biofuels Bioproducts and Biorefining, 05/2010 Crossref	12 words — < 1%
25	learn-ap-southeast-2-prod-fleet01-xythos.content.blackboardcdn.com Internet	12 words — < 1%
26	d-nb.info Internet	11 words — < 1%
27	dspace.lib.cranfield.ac.uk Internet	11 words — < 1%
28	ira.le.ac.uk Internet	11 words — < 1%
29	research.birmingham.ac.uk Internet	11 words — < 1%

30	www.pnas.org Internet	11 words — < 1%
31	Chunjing Qiu, Philippe Ciais, Dan Zhu, Bertrand Guenet et al. "Large historical carbon emissions from cultivated northern peatlands", Science Advances, 2021 Crossref	10 words — < 1%
32	creativecommons.org Internet	10 words — < 1%
33	data.cifor.org Internet	10 words — < 1%
34	hdl.handle.net Internet	10 words — < 1%
35	mbwatercaucus.org Internet	10 words — < 1%
36	research.bangor.ac.uk Internet	10 words — < 1%
37	vdoc.pub Internet	10 words — < 1%
38	www.imcg.net Internet	10 words — < 1%

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EXCLUDE SOURCES < 10 WORDS
EXCLUDE MATCHES < 10 WORDS